The Final Diversion Before The Hot Wars Consume the World

By Matthias Chang - Future Fast-Forward

The desperation of President Trump to avoid total collapse of his presidency and snatch a pyrrhic victory from the jaws of death is playing out in the pending Summit between Putin and Trump in Alaska on 15th August, 2025, is all too apparent.

Trump must bury the Epstein Scandal that is slowly but surely destroying his presidency.

Putin, though winning in the battles in Ukraine cannot sustain the occupation of the entire Ukraine at the expense of the Russian economy.

China and Iran cannot be chewed up by the British Empire, centred in the City of London, and are not willing to be dragged into a war of with US and the moribund British Empire.

Hence, the lamentation by Putin that Russia is fighting the entire West ALONE!

Global War is inevitable.

I have stated repeatedly over the years that the triangulation of the power struggle between the US, Nato and UK (with the British deep state as the Puppet Master) on the first part and Russia, China and Iran of the second part cannot be avoided but has been delayed thus far!

In historical precedents, all nations have always put national interests first and any alliances are temporary in nature in waging "battles" but, not in the final war for total and global hegemony by the **contending parties**.

There can be only ONE EMPEROR and one global empire, even as the Emperor has no clothes.

Ideologies (religion, race, nationalism etc.) are convenient tools to rally the cannon fodders, as the general population is too pre-occupied with survival to understand the geopolitical agendas of the ruling elites.

War is politics by other means.

I would qualify the quotation by asserting that "war is politics by VIOLENT means! Wikipedia agrees with me when it states,

The statement "war is politics by other means" is a famous quote by <u>Carl von Clausewitz</u>, a Prussian military theorist. It means that war is not an isolated event, but rather a <u>continuation of political goals</u> pursued through <u>violent conflict</u>. Essentially, war is seen as a tool of politics, a <u>means to achieve political objectives</u> when diplomacy and other non-violent methods have failed or are deemed insufficient.

There is now a strategic stalemate in the contention by the above-named parties, as each side have not as yet, obtained decisive strategic advantage to achieve global control.

This has been illustrated in the events leading to WWI and WWII and subsequently, new "alliances" were forged before the wars ended, for the next global war.

Put on your thinking caps and consider – UK and USA even considered and did joined hands with communist Stalin's Soviet Union to defeat the then short term and immediate threat of fascist Nazi Germany.

Even before WWII ended, the British Empire and her Bully (USA) aligned to wage the "Cold War" until 1990 / 1991 as it was obvious that communist Stalin and the Soviet Union must be contained and subsequently defeated to ensure the British Empire's global control for all intent and purposes.

But, the world was stupid enough to buy into the propaganda that the new hegemon is the USA. The Brits "retreated" behind the curtains but continued to rule behind the "Iron Curtain".

Please read **Vol III of Winston Churchill's** six volume history of the Second World War. Know your history! I guarantee that no Malaysian political analysts have real the entire six volumes! But my esteemed colleagues in my global network have!

But, Malaysians (even the educated - the lawyers, economists etc.) are still blurred, have bought into the propaganda and manipulated by the neo-colonialists in every which way!

I end this article with this question:

Which is better, to be subjugated and control by brute power and force or by diplomacy and soft power, when the ultimate end game is the same?