

The Most Evil Enemies Are Within, Not The External Enemies.

By Matthias Chang – Future Fast-Forward

There is a tendency in Malaysia for every politician, on assuming the post of Prime Minister, to declare that he will get rid of corruption as a priority. And the fucking electorate is scammed into believing that at last, they have an anti-corruption champion. Big deal!

However, and since 1957, the day of our alleged “Independence” (some 67 years and counting, 2024-1957) we are still fighting corruption. Like prostitution, we cannot get rid of this scourge. Our freaking lawyers (with accountants riding on pillion), the so-called “defenders of clean government” is the band that plays the music to excite you to sing the chorus “we must have a new government and get rid of corruption”.

The Legal and Accounting Professions are part and parcel of the Deep State (there are members who are the exceptions). They never address the main issue that “Power Addiction” is the worst form of corruption, not the diversion of funds, for such monies are merely means to an end - *power perpetuation!* Period!

Every race and or “racial / religious community” have “selected spokespersons” in Congress, Parliaments, National Assemblies, to champion the interests of the racial / religious communities they represent. Without exceptions, they are all bought and paid for (directly or indirectly).

An honest US Congressman, Thomas Massie revealed that every member of the US Congress has a “handler”, a Zionist with links to Israel to do their bidding. Thomas Massie is the only exception.

These scumbags hate me for revealing this filthy and ugly Truth.

Case Studies

General Milley

Many Republicans accused General Milley, the former Chairman of the US Joint Chiefs of Staff, of treason and called for his resignation, firing, and to be prosecuted in a court-martial. Recently, President Trump removed his national security clearance, privileges and security detail. General Milley was a member of an Inner Circle of coup plotters, even when it was President Trump who appointed General Milley to the prestigious post.

It was also revealed that General Milley held informal discussions with his deputies telling associates: "They may try, but they're not going to fucking succeed. You can't do this without the military. You can't do this without the CIA

and the FBI. We're the guys with the guns". He plotted against President Trump.

Regardless of your personal views, the below disclosures are unprecedented:-

Senate Intelligence Committee ranking member Marco Rubio called on Biden to fire Milley, stating that Milley had undermined "the sitting commander in chief" and "contemplated a treasonous leak of classified information to the Chinese Communist Party in advance of a potential armed conflict with the People's Republic of China". Many Republicans accused Milley of treason and called for his resignation, firing, or court-martial. Twenty-seven House Republicans, all members of the Freedom Caucus, wrote to Secretary of Defense Lloyd Austin demanding an Army Regulation 15-6 investigation into Milley's actions and for Milley's security clearance to be suspended.

Hours after Trump was inaugurated as the 47th President of the United States on January 20, 2025, Milley's official portrait was removed from a Pentagon corridor where the portraits of all former chairmen are displayed. The next day workers patched the holes and painted the wall. A U.S. official told the New York Times that the White House had ordered the removal. On January 29, Defense Secretary Pete Hegseth suspended Milley's security clearance, withdrew the authorization for his security detail, and ordered a review of his actions as Chair of the Joint Chiefs of Staff with a view to demote him in rank.

"Milley allegedly placed military hands – his hands – on controls that belong exclusively to the president," Sen. Chuck Grassley, an Iowa Republican, said during a 2022 Senate floor speech in response to the revelations in "Peril." Milley's "brazen words and actions strike at the heart of our democracy – civilian control of the military – and show utter contempt for the commander in chief," Grassley said.

By an Executive Order, President Trump did the same for President Biden for his crimes and plots.

The Russian Dossier Hoax

John Ratcliffe, current director of CIA:

".. This was a fake, phony dossier that started all of this, funded by the Democrats. ... It wasn't real and now Bob Mueller says it wasn't real."

The "dossier" is a series of memos compiled by former British intelligence officer Christopher Steele on supposed contacts between Russian officials and members of the Trump campaign. It alleged the Russian government had compromising information on then-Republican presidential candidate Donald Trump. Steele was hired by the research firm Fusion GPS, which had been hired by a law firm representing Hillary Clinton's presidential campaign and the Democratic National Committee.

The Hunter Biden Story

The New York Post published the story, “**Spies who lie: 51 ‘intelligence’ experts refuse to apologize for discrediting true Hunter Biden story.**”

But the 51 former “intelligence” officials who cast doubt on The Post’s Hunter Biden laptop stories in a public letter really were just desperate to get Joe Biden elected president. And more than a year later, even after their Deep State sabotage has been shown again and again to be a lie, they refuse to own up to how they undermined an election.

The officials, including CNN pundit and professional fabricator James Clapper — a man who was nearly charged for perjury for lying to Congress — signed a letter saying that the laptop “has the classic earmarks of a Russian information operation.”

What proof did they have? By their own admission, none. “We do not know if the emails are genuine or not,” the letter said. They’re just “suspicious.” Why? Because they hurt Biden’s campaign, that’s evidence enough.

Keep in mind this was written Oct. 19, 2020, five days after The Post published its first story. Neither Joe Biden nor Hunter Biden had denied the story, they simply deflected questions. Didn’t these security experts think that if this was disinformation, the Biden campaign would have yelled to the heavens that the story was false?



Mike Hayden, former CIA director, now analyst for CNN: Didn't respond.

Jim Clapper, former director of national intelligence, now CNN pundit: “Yes, I stand by the statement made AT THE TIME, and would call attention to its 5th paragraph. I think sounding such a cautionary note AT THE TIME was appropriate.”

Leon Panetta, former CIA director and defense secretary, now runs a public policy institute at California State University: Declined comment.

John Brennan, former CIA director, now analyst for NBC and MSNBC: Didn't respond.

Thomasingar, former National Intelligence Council chair, now teaches at Stanford University: Didn't respond.

Rick Ledgett, former National Security Agency deputy director, now a director at M&T Bank: Didn't respond.

John McLaughlin, former CIA acting director, now teaches at Johns Hopkins University: Didn't respond.

Michael Morell, former CIA acting director, now at George Mason University: Didn't respond.

Mike Vickers, former defense undersecretary for intelligence, now on board of BAE Systems: Didn't respond.

Doug Wise, former Defense Intelligence Agency deputy director, teaches at University of New Mexico: Didn't respond.

Nick Rasmussen, former National Counterterrorism Center director, now executive director, Global Internet Forum to Counter Terrorism: Didn't respond.

Russ Travers, former National Counterterrorism Center acting director: "The letter explicitly stated that we didn't know if the emails were genuine, but that we were concerned about Russian disinformation efforts. I spent 25 years as a Soviet/Russian analyst. Given the context of what the Russians were doing at the time (and continue to do — Ukraine being just the latest example), I considered the cautionary warning to be prudent."

Andy Liepman, former National Counterterrorism Center deputy director: "As far as I know I do [stand by the statement] but I'm kind of busy right now."

John Moseman, former CIA chief of staff: Didn't respond.

Larry Pfeiffer, former CIA chief of staff, now senior advisor to The Chertoff Group: Didn't respond.

Jeremy Bash, former CIA chief of staff, now analyst for NBC and MSNBC: Didn't respond.

Rodney Snyder, former CIA chief of staff: Didn't respond.

Glenn Gerstell, former National Security Agency general counsel: Didn't respond.

David Priess, former CIA analyst and manager: "Thank you for reaching out. I have no further comment at this time."

Pam Purcilly, former CIA deputy director of analysis: Didn't respond.

Marc Polymeropoulos, former CIA senior operations officer: Didn't respond.

Chris Savos, former CIA senior operations officer: Didn't respond.

John Tullius, former CIA senior intelligence officer: Didn't respond.

David A. Vanell, former CIA senior operations officer: Didn't respond.

Kristin Wood, former CIA senior intelligence officer, now non-resident fellow, Harvard: Didn't respond.

David Buckley, former CIA inspector general: Didn't respond.

Nada Bakos, former CIA analyst and targeting officer, now senior fellow, Foreign Policy Research Institute: Didn't respond.

Patty Brandmaier, former CIA senior intelligence officer: Didn't respond.

James B. Bruce, former CIA senior intelligence officer: Didn't respond.

David Cariens, former CIA intelligence analyst: Didn't respond.

Janice Cariens, former CIA operational support officer: Didn't respond.

Paul Kolbe, former CIA senior operations officer: Didn't respond.

Peter Corsell, former CIA analyst: Didn't respond.

Brett Davis, former CIA senior intelligence officer: Didn't respond.

Roger Zane George, former national intelligence officer: Didn't respond.

Steven L. Hall, former CIA senior intelligence officer: Didn't respond.

Kent Harrington, former national intelligence officer: Didn't respond.

Don Hepburn, former national security executive, now president of Boanerges Solutions LLC: "My position has not changed any. I believe the Russians made a

huge effort to alter the course of the election . . . The Russians are masters of blending truth and fiction and making something feel incredibly real when it's not. Nothing I have seen really changes my opinion. I can't tell you what part is real and what part is fake, but the thesis still stands for me, that it was a media influence hit job."

Timothy D. Kilbourn, former dean of CIA's Kent School of Intelligence Analysis: Didn't respond.

Ron Marks, former CIA officer: Didn't respond.

Jonna Hiestand Mendez, former CIA technical operations officer, now on board of the International Spy Museum: "I don't have any comment. I would need a little more information."

Emile Nakhleh, former director of CIA's Political Islam Strategic Analysis Program, now at University of New Mexico: "I have not seen any information since then that would alter the decision behind signing the letter. That's all I can go into. The whole issue was highly politicized and I don't want to deal with that. I still stand by that letter."

Gerald A. O'Shea, former CIA senior operations officer: Didn't respond.

Nick Shapiro, former CIA deputy chief of staff and senior adviser to the director: Didn't respond.

John Sipher, former CIA senior operations officer: Declined to comment.

Stephen Slick, former National Security Council senior director for intelligence programs: Didn't respond.

Cynthia Strand, former CIA deputy assistant director for global issues: Didn't respond.

Greg Tarbell, former CIA deputy executive director: Didn't respond.

David Terry, former National Intelligence Collection Board chairman: Couldn't be reached.

Greg Treverton, former National Intelligence Council chair, now senior adviser at the Center for Strategic and International Studies: "I'll pass. I haven't followed the case recently."

Winston Wiley, former CIA director of analysis: Couldn't be reached.

Conclusions

What I had disclosed is so disgusting. US government officials have interfered in an election because they are of the view that THE VOTERS MUST BE CONTROLLED BY THEM as to who they choose as the next US President.

The exposé of USAID, NED etc and the \$Billions utilised domestically and overseas to ensure who the CRIMINALS have selected will govern the country, is horrendous and evil.

So, which is more dangerous – Political Power Corruption or Embezzlement of Funds?

