Did the US help create Covid-19? Ex-CDC chief carpet-bombs the official narrative By Rachel Marsden

Dr. Robert Redfield suggests that the virus was engineered in a lab and the US was involved



Despite various debunkings, the Western establishment is still policing Covid-19 narratives nearly five years later – even when the latest bombshell suggests a US national security cover-up.

A former head of the US Centers for Disease Control (CDC) is being accused of spreading fake news for making statements that fall outside the acceptable Covid-related dogma that the Western establishment deems etched into history as indisputable fact.

Dr. Robert Redfield, the virologist who served as CDC director during President Donald Trump's first term, said on a recent podcast that the Covid virus was "intentionally engineered as part of a biodefense program," and that "the US role was substantial," citing research funded by the "National Institutes of Health, the State Department, USAID, and the Defense Department."

He specifically attributed the probable creation of "some of the original viral lines" to a researcher at the University of North Carolina, although conceded that he couldn't actually prove it. Yeah, well, that's what hearings with the power to compel evidence and testimony under threat of imprisonment are for – not a podcast. How about getting that researcher on the stand?

"Scientists agree that there is no evidence to suggest that Covid-19 originated in a lab in any country," Newsweek wrote in reporting on Redfield's remarks. Actually, there is at least some smoke to suggest a potential fire. In 2021, Newsweek itself contacted Dr. Anthony Fauci, who

served as director of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) during Trump's first term. Fauci clarified that the research the US "supported in China, where coronaviruses are prevalent, sought to understand the behavior of coronaviruses circulating in bats that have the potential to cause widespread disease. The body of science produced by this research demonstrates that the bat coronavirus sequences published from that work NIH supported were not SARS-CoV-2."

Fauci added that the US-backed gain of function research wasn't applied to viruses that could infect humans. Guess it's just an incredibly wild and unlucky coincidence then that the global epicenter for the outbreak just happened to take place near a lab funded by Washington in China, where they were doing gain of function work on some viruses – just not on the exact virus that happened to cause global mayhem.

And we're supposed to just take Fauci's word for it, I guess. We're talking about the same guy who appeared on TV in a cloth mask covered in the logo of his favorite sports team and told everyone to do the same as though the only thing standing between life and death was a pair of grandma's pantyhose wrapped around your face. Then he told Americans not to invite unvaccinated family members over for the holidays, despite the fact that it failed to actually prevent transmission or infection.

During the podcast interview, Redfield also reframed China's responsibility in a way that would likely annoy the Western establishment. "When you look at the accountability for China, their accountability is not in the lab work and in the creation of the virus. Their accountability is not following the international health regulations after they realized they had a problem," he said, seemingly implying that it was American interests that spearheaded the research project.

[Matthias's comments: Bingo!!! I said this from day one before the vaccine was introduced in Malaysia]

Again, why is mainstream America learning about this via some obscure show? This guy even testified at a Covid congressional hearing, but his position was far from audible. "It was told to me that they wanted a single narrative, and that I obviously had a different point of view,"

Redfield previously said, according to the BBC. "Science has debate and they squashed any debate," he added, in a nod to Fauci. The White House has admitted that there isn't even consensus across the US government on the Covid virus' origin, yet they seem entirely satisfied with the lack of answers.

Despite the lingering uncertainties, the establishment press is quick to dismiss anyone who doesn't support the theory that it came from some random bat or

other animal that some dude ate in China. The scientific community and the Western establishment gate-keep their Covid-related narratives as consensus, and anyone who dares to deviate is written off as a kook – even if the dissenting view, in this case, is expressed by one of America's leading health authorities during the Covid fiasco.

This is the same 'scientific community' that largely shrugged off the social applications of their 'science' when it led to things like surfers wandering along empty beaches alone being chased down and tackled by cops in the interest of public health. It's the same community that's equally intolerant of any dissent related to their manmade climate change theory.

Why is debate even remotely controversial when there are still so many unanswered questions? And it's not like the issue is benign or entirely in the rear-view mirror. Some people are still so traumatized by the tsunami of official government propaganda related to the overwhelmingly survivable (and now largely forgotten) virus that they haven't stopped regularly running out to top up on their Covid jabs, which have never actually met the time-tested definition of a vaccine.

Recently, some American jurisdictions have even taken action to stop people jonesing for their next injection to treat their state-sponsored psychological trauma a half-decade later. Officials in Texas and Florida have actively blocked jab promotion and marketing campaigns. A regional public health authority in Ohio has recently blocked it from even being available.

Last year, the House Oversight Committee <u>identified</u> a "highly credible" senior CIA officer who told them that the CIA had offered financial incentive to a group of analysts working on the Covid origin question to support the natural transmission theory over the lab leak theory. If CIA involvement can't be dismissed, then why ignore the possibility of other related national security agencies' involvement?

Achieving greater transparency on the discreet role of government agencies in the Covid debacle sounds like the perfect job for Trump's new nominee for health secretary, Robert F. Kennedy Jr., who has long suspected the involvement of the CIA in the assassination of his uncle, President John F. Kennedy, citing the former president's refusal to send troops to Vietnam.

Western governments from the US to France <u>have classified</u> Covid-related information and deliberations. Sounds like it would be a good time to reopen the conversation, starting with the public release of any secret 'Covid files' – all in the interest of science, of course.