

The Shattering Of Myths: A Counter-Intuitive Interpretation Of The Geopolitical History Of The Zionist Anglo-American Empire

By Matthias Chang – Future Fast-Forward

I have decided to write this article, a leap of faith that a minority of Malaysian readers will at long last accept the reality which I am exposing, and stimulate a second global movement to set the record straight, a second movement for historical revisionism - to bury the Anglo-American hegemony's stranglehold of lies, myths and propaganda.

The following bullet points of falsehoods, myths etc. stuffed into our brains as the unblemished truths must be exposed:

- The United States, United Kingdom, Europe (collectively the West) are democracies;
- The West are civilised and the bastions against terrorism;
- The West abhors mass murders and tortures;
- The West personifies the best of Christianity;
- The West are against wars and strive for peace;
- The West are not oppressors but fight for freedom and oppose dictatorships;

I owe a debt of gratitude to my dearest friend and colleague, **Michael Collins Piper who introduced me to the giants of historical revisionism**, who was himself a respected and admired historical revisionist - the most notable were Sidney B Fay, Harry Elmer Barnes and William L. Neumann.

Neumann wrote in his Introduction to Elmer Barnes' *In Quest of Truth and Justice* and I quote:

"The revisionists were not carrying on their crusade merely to correct historical record. Most of them believed that mankind's version of the past had to be wise and discerning one to be a valid guide for the future. Successive generations have had to deal with new but similar historical problems in seeking the right path for a peaceful future. World War II, Pearl Harbour, the Cold War, the Korean War and the Vietnam War all demand the best analysis if civilisation is not to end in the biggest of conflicts, the exchange of thermonuclear weapons. There are many lies which can be traced from these latter conflicts back to World War I. The efforts of these early revisionists to thrash out the most valid truths within men's capacities on the origins of the first mass murder of the twentieth century, remains as important an intellectual tasks, as when this volume first appeared."

Although, I am not writing a book, but a concise article I wish to adopt the approach of Harry Elmer Barnes when he wrote in the Preface of his book, referred above that:

“... The present volume has been especially designed to appeal to a wide group of readers ... the writer in no sense means to imply that the struggle for truth in this field is by any means associated primarily with his own writings and the attacks thereon. He can scarcely be accused of having been reluctant to recognise the achievements and assistance of others ... it is doubtful if there is any argument against Revisionism which has not been raised by one or another of his critics....”

The relationship between the US and Israel cannot be summarily reduced to the statement that the US is controlled by the “Israel Lobby” – led by the American Israel Public Affair Committee (AIPAC).

It is more complicated. Israel is financed and armed by the US’s Military Industrial Complex (MIC) and presently, Israel’s wars in Gaza and the war against Hezbollah cannot be sustained without that support. The presentation of the map of Israel – “from the River to the Sea” by Netanyahu in the UN, is but a replication of the hegemonic control of the entire region (the Middle East) by the Anglo-American imperialist combo.

Israel is the bully boy of the US MIC so as to divert the attention of the crimes and barbarity of the US / UK Combo to that of Israel.

Likewise, the war in Ukraine - these are proxy wars, and Ukraine and Israel are the proxies. “It is war to the death, like the war with the Nazis and we should understand that it would be fought that way..” James Woolsey (former CIA Director) elaborated in 2003.

What you read in the global MSM are just Kabuki theatrics!

The US and UK are not democracies, but are fascist / military states for perpetual wars. The economies of the US and UK are war-based economies, and without wars, their economies would collapse. WWI issues were not resolved and had to be continued with WWII. And before WWII ended, Churchill the war criminal initiated the Cold War (referred to as WWIII). My analysis in “Geopolitical Suicide Poker” refers.

The former Director of CIA, James Woolsey has already conceded that WWIV has stated and explained that WWIII was the Cold War. He warned that WWIV would last considerably longer than either WWI or WWII but may not be as long as the four decades of the Cold War (WWIII).

In a recent interview conducted by Dialogue Works, Prof Richard Wolff said,

*“Well, I think in the case of Ukraine, at this point, it is merely a kind of vague, left-over desire to weaken Russia. It isn’t working very well, so my guess is it’ll be over pretty soon. **And in the case of Israel, I think, Michael is right, that this is a deal: the Israelis, hopefully, will give the Americans some kind of leverage over what happens in the Middle East that they wouldn’t have if they didn’t have Israel.** Otherwise I do not understand why the United States allows its policies to be made by Mr. Netanyahu. We have the strange situation*

that the people holding back Mr. Netanyahu are Israelis, not Americans, which given that it's two different countries is rather strange. Americans feel more difficulty in opposing Netanyahu than Israelis do. **But, I don't want to take away from the fact that there is a mutuality of interest in shaping the Middle East and hoping to be able to do it.**"

However, my good friend Prof Michael Hudson, who came to Malaysia some time ago, was more pointed in his explanation. He said,

"So I won't call the U.S. or the European Union democracies, but there is no government that has to be elected that is able to send their own soldiers into a big war.

"And what that means is that today's tactics are limited to bombing, not occupying, countries. They are limited to what the Israeli forces can drop the bombs on Gaza and Hezbollah and try to knock out things, but neither the Israeli army, nor any other army, would really be able to invade and try to take over a country in the way that armies did in World War II.

"So, this was recognized 50 years ago and it seemed at that time that the U.S.-backed wars were going to have to be scaled down. But that hasn't happened. And the reason is the United States had a fall-back position: it was going to rely on foreign troops to do the fighting as proxies instead of itself - that was the solution - to get a force.

"The first example was the creation of Wahhabi jihadists in Afghanistan, who later became al-Qaeda. Jimmy Carter mobilized them against secular Afghan interests and justified it by saying, 'Well, yes, they're Muslims, but after all, we all believe in God.'"

"So the answer to the secular state of Afghanistan was Wahhabi fanaticism and jihads, and the United States realized that in order to have an army that's willing to fight to the last member of its country — the last Afghan, the last Israeli, the last Ukrainian — you really need a country whose spirit is one of hatred towards the other, a spirit very different from the American and European spirit."

Prof Hudson explained further,

"And the aim of the United States was oil was the centre of this policy. That meant the United States had to secure the Near East and there were two proxy armies for it. And these two armies fought together as allies down to today. On the one hand, the al-Qaeda jihadis, on the other hand, their managers, the Israelis, hand in hand.

"And they've done the fighting so that the United States doesn't have to do it.

"The foreign policy has backed Israel and Ukraine, providing them with arms, bribing their leaders with enormous sums of money, and electronic satellite guidance for everything they're doing.

“President Biden keeps telling Netanyahu, ‘Well, we’ve just given you a brand new bunker, cluster bombs and huge bombs – please drop them on your enemies, but do it gently’. We don’t want you to hurt anybody when you drop these bombs.”

“Well, that’s the hypocrisy – it’s a good cop / bad cop. Biden and the United States for the last 50 years has posed as a good cop criticizing the bad cops that it’s been backing. Bad cop ISIS and al-Qaeda, bad cop Netanyahu.”

Prof Hudson concluded succinctly,

*“But when all of this strategy was being put together, Herman Kahn’s great achievement was to convince the U.S. Empire builders that the key to achieving their control in the Middle East was to **rely on Israel as its foreign legion.**”*

*“And that arms-length arrangement enabled the United States to play the role, as I said, **of the good cop, designating Israel to play its role, and Israel has organized and supplied al-Nusra, al-Qaeda while the United States pretends to denounce them. And it’s all part of a plan that’s been backed by the military, the State Department, and the National Security Operation.**”*

“And that’s why the State Department has turned over management of U.S. diplomacy to Zionists, seemingly distinguishing Israeli behaviour from U.S. empire building. But in a nutshell, the Israelis have joined al-Qaeda and ISIS as troops, as America’s foreign legion.”

However, today we are faced with a horrendous scenario, one which Malaysians have failed to understand and or prepared against, in the warped sense that the US and UK are still the good guys to protect Malaysians from the “yellow Peril”, the Muslim Jihadists when it is the US and UK who are the terrorists.

This is how Prof Hudson sounded the warning to us,

*“Yeah, I think we can use more of the context. **Because after I mentioned that the U.S. realized it needs foreign troops, it also realized that the only kind of full-scale war that democracy could afford is atomic war. And the problem is that that only works against adversaries that can’t retaliate.**”*

“But in recent years, U.S. military policy has been so aggressive that it’s driven other countries to band together and back their allies with nuclear powers. So all of the countries of the world now are associated with nuclear backups. And we’ve discussed that before.

*“The result is that today’s military alliances mean that any attempt to use nuclear weapons is going to risk a full-scale nuclear war that’s going to destroy all the participants and the rest of the world as well. **So what is left for the United States? Well, I think there’s only one form of non-atomic war that democracies can afford, and that’s terrorism.**”*

'And I think you should look at Ukraine and Israel as the terrorist alternative to atomic war. I think Andrei Martyanov recently has explained that that's the alternative to atomic war. And this, unless NATO-West is willing to risk atomic war, which it doesn't seem to be willing to, then terrorism is the only alternative left to it. And that is the basis of the regime change plans that the United States has in countries bordering Russia, China, and other countries that it views as adversaries. That's what we're seeing in Ukraine and above all in Israel, as it fights against the Palestinian population in Gaza.

*"The whole idea of the Ukrainians and Israelis is to bomb civilians, not military targets, but civilians. It's a fight literally to destroy the population under an ideology of genocide. And that is absolutely central. **It's not an accident – it's built in, built into the program. And Lebanon, even though it's largely Christian, is part of that.**"*

Prof Hudson continued,

*"... **how fighting to the last Ukrainian is now being superseded by fighting to the last Israeli.** Why are they doing this? Well, the answer is: If there were peace – if Egypt and the other countries that you mentioned were to make a peaceful arrangement with Israel – then there'd be no war. And with no war, how could the United States take over the other countries in the region? The U.S. policy, as I said, 50 years ago, and I'll go into that more now, was based on the U.S. actually taking over all of these countries, again using Israel as the battering ram, as what the army called **"America's landed aircraft carrier"** there. Well, all this began to take place in the 1960s with Henry "Scoop" Jackson.*

"Initially, Israel didn't really play a role in the U.S. plan. Jackson simply hated communism, he hated the Russians, and he had got a lot of support within the Democratic Party. He was a senator from Washington State, and that was the centre of military-industrial complex.

*"He was called, nicknamed, "The Senator from Boeing," for his support for the military-industrial complex. **And the military-industrial complex backed him for becoming chair of the Democratic National Committee.** Well, he was backed by Herman Kahn – as I said, the model for Dr. Strangelove – who became the key strategist for U.S. military hegemony and the Hudson Institute – no relation to me, an ancestor discovered the river we were both named after. They used the Hudson Institute and its predecessor, the Rand Corporation, where Herman came from, as its major long-term planner - **we've got to have war. And he proceeded to stuff the State Department and other U.S. agencies, with neo-cons, who were planned from the beginning for a permanent worldwide war,** and this takeover of government policy was led by Jackson's former senate aides.*

"These senate aides were Paul Wolfowitz, Richard Pearl, Douglas Fife, and others who were catapulted into the commanding heights of the State Department and more recently the National Security Council. The Jackson-

Vanik amendment to the U.S. Trade Act of 1974 became the model for subsequent sanctions against the Soviet Union.

“I don’t think there were any non-Jewish Americans that had that visceral hatred of Islam that the Zionists had, or also the visceral hatred of Russia, specifically for anti-Semitism of past centuries, most of which was in Ukraine and Kiev, by the way.”

The Coup de Grace was enunciated as follows

*“To act as America’s proxy, to conquer the oil-producing countries and **make it part of greater Israel as much of a satellite of the United States that England or Germany or Japan have become.** The idea that they will continue the U.S. policy to receive all the support they need has become a precondition for their own solvency that, as Richard has just said, looks like it’s not working anymore. It isn’t solvent – there’s no solution to the black hole that Israel’s painted itself into.*

*“And yet, there’s no willingness to have a single state because Biden and the entire national Security Council – Congress, and the military, and especially the military industrial complex, says there cannot be any common living between Palestinians and Israelis any more than there can be in Ukraine, Ukrainians speakers and Russian speakers in the same country. **It’s exactly the same, it’s following exactly the same policy and all of this is planned and sponsored by the United States and funded with enormous amounts of money.**”*

I hesitated in writing the narrative in my distinctive way, because Malaysians have the sordid habit of only believing what the Western intellectuals say. I have nothing to lose in citing the above quotations but I am most grateful that there are still American patriots and intellectuals who have the decency and integrity to speak truth to power.

I pray that in time Malaysians would have the courage of their convictions to emulate Prof. Michael Hudson and Prof Richard Wolff.

