



neverhadaboss.com

updates on the insane world of money and power

*Elites Think They Can 'Lord It Over Us'*

"Move on folks—there's nothing to see here." We've all heard that one, but across the board lives are put at risk and we are not allowed to talk about it—under threat of law.

I hadn't considered the term, 'Lord It Over Us' as relating to health regulators, but it describes them quite well. As an example, the US alone (in a world coming to terms with untold 'excess deaths' from mRNA), is advising additional shots for small children.

Seeing themselves as feudal lords, elites are of the opinion that real information would simply confuse the public who are incapable of understanding or directing their own lives. That's why they opt to do away with *informed consent*—rabble don't need it.

Their assurance: '*vaccines do not cause autism*', has no basis in science or studies. Instead, it functions to end debate, handed down from on high from lords to plebeians.

Why do 10 of 12 certified board physicians vote for something 'vaccine related' that is patently false? Maybe because they received no more than half a day on 'vaccines' in med school? Or, they haven't read what they vote on—instead they accept blindly or don't care that it might have been produced by interested players—agents of Pharma?

With not enough mRNA 'vaccines to go around (1 was too many?), regulators continued (aware of contrary evidence), to advise those who already had the covid virus, to take 'vaccines'/boosters. Only later were they forced to admit they were wrong.

Because *our lords* know better than us, all nuance in the selling of their dictates has disappeared. Instead we are objects of *blunt-force trauma*. Blind faith, admixed with greed, works to assure that government's role as head medical misinformers—prevails.

They employ a simplistic rationalization: studies of 'vaccines' as a cause for autism, or any need for *informed consent* would result in consequences where we serfs would begin to doubt 'vaccines', which would cause the world to return to a chaos of disease.

But, what if 'vaccines' as a whole do more harm than good? What if most 'vaccines' were not tested against a control group? What if regulatory assumptions concerning autism is based on invalid assumptions? What if FDA premises are fraudulent assumptions? As in, mRNA shots stop transmission—just another rational for a horror show.

The fate of humanity depends on whether or not enough of us plebs become aware of *across the board* lies from the lords—and whether we possess the courage to do something about it. But, American courage is in doubt. Lies/propaganda are so pervasive that whatever flag gets *run up the pole*, most Americans salute—to their detriment.

Regulatory insanity has taken a sickening turn—as it applies to children. The FDA extended its mRNA/SAR2 reach such that boosters are now a yearly occurrence. Who are mandated? Small children/infants—at little or no risk from any associated viruses.

This is taking place at the same time when the ICAN network has won lawsuits against against Mississippi and California State Colleges—reinstating *religious exemptions* to opt-out of 'vaccine' mandates. MS/Cal students can now control their bodies.

But not so with kids. If a kid lives in California and attends public school (why would they?), they must first acquiesce to being a medical pincushion for insufficiently tested 'vaccines'. Kids have no rights, nor do parents—if they have kids in public schools.

Lawsuits have to be won, 1 at a time, and elites are afraid. Because schools are public, depositions of agents are videoed/accessible in the public domain. Seeing what ICAN did to the FDA in depositions—mRNA mandate monsters *cower in the corners*.

However, wins against the monsters are limited. Even with New Hampshire parents close to ending a mandate that LGBXYZ-inclusive storybooks (promoting gender transition, pride parades, and same-sex romance between children), be read to elementary school students—US school-aged children continue to need to be fully 'vaccinated'.

We are bereft of leadership in the United States because our nation is being run by *equity advocates* rather than *science research*. When did advocates replace research? It was after I was in graduate school, when women/black studies were just starting up.

The sad truth is that *advocacy studies* takes us away from *hard science*. That's the problem—across the board. There is no open debate because *advocates* shut it down. Advocacy is extend/pretend abandonment of science-based study. And it rules the day.

It's divide and conquer, based on a model of *redistribution of compassion*. The reason inhuman choices (fund Zelensky/Netanyahu/mRNA jabs/hormone blockers for kids), get made, is because of advocacy—not science. Test it: mention that the highest suicide rate is among middle-age white men—not blacks/women. See what happens.

Compassion has been removed for the group labelled—*white men*. They deserve what they get—but don't bring up that suicide rate—it's is not part of the discussion.

Back to medicine. No need for new safety trials: mRNA 'vaccines' are the same as the ones FDA already approved (that had no safety trials). mRNA will allow Pharma to produce a plethora of untested 'vaccines', cheaper, without the bother of safety trials. Their message: next time we won't do the 'spike', but we love this mRNA technology.

Decades ago autism struck 1/10,000—now it hits 1/29 boys. Raising the bar of fear, studies show the 2nd mRNA jab cause immune systems to get lazy—to observe rather than defend. The supposition being: *excess deaths* are perpetuated by compromised immune systems—directly related to the 'vaccines'. If that were only the entire message: the 3rd shot, the 1st booster, purportedly raises level of medical risk—500 times.

Vaccines are not the problem. It's science, reduced or eliminated in favor of advocacy—done in favor of profit/group supremacy—based on self interest/control of others.

Just as a psychologically compromised population and not guns is the problem for crime rates, it's the same with leadership—denying science for self-interest advocacy.

What can we do? Donate to ICAN to assure that lawsuits continue. And, keep in mind, when it comes up in discussion, that, by definition, *safe and effective* does not mean *without harm*, it means—*without risk*. Emergency mRNA can in no way be that.

There's more I want to write about elites 'lording it', but 2 pages are full. Next time.

Get my articles by email with a request: erik@neverhadaboss.com. And thank you.