Be Careful of Research Attributing Everything to a President

By Martin Armstrong

QUESTION: Do you agree that Trump supported the digital ID to control the border?

<u>ANSWER</u>: I do not know your source, but it sounds like someone who just attributes whatever idea takes place during a presidential term to whoever is president. Perhaps that is one way to do research, but it tends to reflect more of a lack of actual experience with Washington.

Under that definition, you would attribute the Iraq war to George Bush, Jr. rather than Dick Cheney and the Neocons who seized control of the Bush White House. Even today, assuming Biden is making any of these strategic geopolitical decisions would only again reveal the total lack of understanding of what takes place behind the curtain among the UNELECTED members of the Deep State.

Ascribing the idea of digital IDs to Trump is just as absurd as attributing the economic bounce in employment post-COVID to Biden or some policy he created when it was just reopening the economy. Anyone who seeks to attribute whatever issue or trend to the president does not understand how this government functions.

They only look in from the outside in, with no experience from ever being on the inside.

That same type of analysis attributed the Great Depression to Herbert Hoover (1874–1964), who became the 31st president of the United States on March 4th, 1929. That analysis blamed the Republicans when international capital flow shifted in 1927 and was used to sell socialism to the United States.

The New York Times and the press were pushing Communism on America during the Great Depression and trashed Hoover, blaming him for everything.

Capital flows shifted in 1927, and money was being returned to Europe after seeking safety in the USA during World War I. There was the G4 of 1927, and the US cut rates to try to send capital back to Europe, which was desperate at the time. The Sovereign Default and most European governments defaulting on their nation's debts in 1931 sealed the fate and wiped out banks and people's savings here in America.

Nevertheless, it was during the New Deal that the real communists infiltrated Roosevelt's Administration. The New York Times celebrated Joseph Stalin and communism as the future and cure for the Great

Depression. Their top journalist, Walter Duranty (1884-1957), even convinced Roosevelt to recognize Russia. Duranty met with Roosevelt to convince him that Communism was working and to encourage his New Deal. The mainstream press in the 1930s was very much touting Communism. They wanted to hear of Utopia – the land where the economy only expanded and never declined, even into a recession. So, mainstream media reported only what they wanted to believe, as they are doing once again.

On March 31, 1933, New York Times (NYT) journalist Walter Duranty denounced reports of famine to cover up the fact that Stalin stole all the food from Ukraine.

The NYT was so pro-Communism that this was the natural infiltration of Reds, but nobody did anything about that. They wanted to hear of Utopia and so reported only what they wanted to believe, as they are doing once again. The New York Times even promoted Duranty to be awarded the Pulitzer Prize for reporting fake news.

When Gareth Jones (1905-1935) in March of 1933 said this was all a lie, the truth finally began to appear. It took the New York Times until 1990 to admit they engaged in fake news pushing communism, covering up the famine in Ukraine to suggest that Stalinism was the Utopia they wanted to impose in the United States.

The NYT wrote that their reporting on the Russian Revolution constituted "some of the worst reporting to appear in this newspaper." Duranty also did this to support Roosevelt's **New Deal**. He helped install drastic progressiveness in taxation.