

How CIA Created Modern Germany

By Kit Klarenberg – April, 2024

On February 4th, *The Economist* published a **devastating analysis** - or perhaps, “pre-mortem” - of the collapse of the German Social Democratic Party (SPD) under Olaf Scholz’s stewardship. Elected in what the Western media **contemporaneously branded** a “shock” result in September 2021, hopes for his coalition government in many quarters were high. Today, he enjoys the worst approval ratings of any Chancellor in modern history, and national **opinion polls** place SPD approval at 15% or lower.

The Economist frames Scholz’s collapsed fortunes, and the prospects of his party’s imminent extinction as a serious force within German politics, as a microcosm of Berlin’s declining economic and political clout more widely. It notes that the nation’s finances have gone “limp” during his tenure, with business-sector confidence collapsing, and record inflation destroying citizens’ incomes and savings. **Other sources** have detailed the country’s “deindustrialization,” *Politico* coining the nickname, “Rust Belt on the Rhine.”

In keeping with those meditations on Germany’s ever-worsening woes, *The Economist’s* bleak diagnosis made no mention of how Western sanctions imposed on Russia in February 2022 created Berlin’s crisis. Scholz was a **prominent cheerleader** for the Biden administration’s **push** to “make the Ruble rubble.” Now that effort has so spectacularly backfired that it can no longer be ignored or spun otherwise, **Newsweek admits** “any realistic war game could have easily predicted” the sanctions would not only fail, but boomerang on the sanctioners.

Those few analysts **who predicted** the invasion of Ukraine well in advance **nevertheless didn’t** anticipate Berlin would support and facilitate any US counterattack, particularly in the financial sphere. They believed Germany possessed the requisite autonomy and sense not to commit wilful economic suicide in service of Empire. After all, the country’s stability, prosperity and power were almost exclusively dependent on cheap, readily accessible Russian energy. Voluntarily ending that supply would inevitably be disastrous.

For this shortcoming, they can be forgiven. Berlin, particularly in the wake of reunification, has successfully presented itself to the world as sovereign, led by sensible people acting in the best interests of their nation, and Europe. In truth, ever since 1945, Germany has been a heavily occupied nation, drowning under the weight of US military installations, and its politics, society and culture aggressively shaped and influenced by the CIA.

Active Measures



The vast US embassy in Berlin lurks by iconic Brandenburg Gate

This unacknowledged reality is amply spelled out in Agency whistleblower Philip Agee's 1978 **tell-all book**, *Dirty Work: The CIA in Western Europe*. Comprehending who is truly in charge in Berlin, and what interests Germany's elected representatives are actually serving, is fundamental to understanding why Scholz, et al., so eagerly embraced the self-destructive sanctions. And why the facts of Nord Stream 2's criminal destruction can never emerge.

'Enormous Presence'

Following World War II, the United States emerged as the world's undisputed military and economic superpower. As Agee wrote, the overriding aim of US foreign policy thereafter was to "guarantee the coherence of the Western world" under its hegemonic leadership. CIA activities were accordingly "directed toward achieving this goal." In service of the Empire's global domination project, "left opposition movements had to be discredited and destroyed" everywhere.

After West Germany was forged from the respective occupation zones of Britain, France and the US, the fledgling country became a particularly "crucial area" in this regard, serving as "one of the most important operational areas for far-reaching CIA programs" in Europe and elsewhere. Domestic Agency operations in West Germany were explicitly concerned with ensuring the country was "pro-American," and structured according to US "commercial interests."

In the process, the CIA covertly supported the Christian Democratic Union (CDU) and SPD, and trade unions. The Agency "wanted the influence of the two major political parties to be strong enough to shut out and hold down any left opposition," Agee explained. The SPD had a radical, Marxist tradition. It was the only party in the Reichstag to vote against the 1933 **Enabling Act**, which laid the foundations for Germany's total Nazification, and led to its proscription.

Newly reinstated following the war, the SPD maintained its revolutionary roots until 1959. Then, under the **Godesberg Program**, it abandoned any commitment to seriously challenging capitalism. It stretches credibility to

suggest the CIA was not expressly responsible for neutering the party's radical tendencies. As Agee explained, during the Cold War, the Agency worked "systematically" to ensure "socialist parties of the free world [toed] a line compatible with American interests."

In any event, effective control of West German democracy ensured that Washington's "enormous presence" there - **which included** hundreds of thousands of troops, and almost 300 separate military and intelligence installations - was not challenged by those in power, irrespective of the party to which they belonged. This was despite a majority of the population consistently opposing US military occupation.

This presence in turn granted the CIA "a number of different covers to work behind," according to Agee. The majority of its operatives were embedded within the US military, posing as soldiers. The Agency's biggest station was an army base in Frankfurt, although it also boasted units in West Berlin and Munich. US operatives were "highly qualified technicians who tap telephones, open mail, keep people under surveillance, and encode and decode intelligence transmissions," working "all over the country."


Dedicated divisions were charged with "making contact with organisations and people within the political establishment," such as the SPD and its elected representatives. All the intelligence collected was "used to infiltrate and manipulate" the same entities. The CIA, moreover, collaborated "very closely" with West German security services in many domestic spying efforts, the country's assorted intelligence agencies conducting operations at the Agency's direct behest, "often [to] protect CIA activities from any legal consequences."

'Discredit and Destroy'

Intimate bedfellows as they were, there were nonetheless "difficulties" in the CIA's relationship with its West German counterparts, per Agee. The Agency never fully trusted their protégés, and felt a pronounced need to "keep an eye" on them. Still, this lack of faith was no barrier to the CIA partnering with the BND, West Germany's foreign intelligence service, to **secretly purchase** Swiss encryption firm Crypto AG in 1970. Perhaps this was done to "protect CIA activities from any legal consequences."

Crypto AG produced high-tech machines through which foreign governments could transmit sensitive high-level communications around the world, safe from prying eyes. Or so they thought. In reality, the clandestine owners of Crypto AG, and by extension the NSA and GCHQ, could easily decipher any messages sent via the firm's devices, as they themselves crafted the encryption codes. The connivance operated in total secrecy for decades thereafter, only being exposed in February 2020. The full extent of the information collected via Crypto AG - along with key national competitor Omnisec AG, which the CIA **also owned** - and the nefarious purposes to which it was put is unknown. It would be entirely unsurprising though if the harvested data helped inform CIA operations to "discredit and destroy" left-wing opposition in West Germany and beyond, efforts that no doubt continue to this day.

The Cold War may be over, but Germany remains **heavily occupied**. It hosts the largest number of US troops of any European country, despite an **overwhelming majority** of the population supporting their partial or total withdrawal in the years following the Berlin Wall's collapse. In July 2020, then-President Donald Trump **announced the withdrawal** of 12,000 soldiers of the 38,600-strong contingent still there.



US bases in Germany, an Occupied Country

While intended to punish Germany for greenlighting the now-destroyed Nord Stream 2, **polls indicated** most Germans were only too happy to say “auf wiedersehen.” In all, 47% were in favor of them leaving, while a quarter called for the permanent closure of all US bases on their soil. Yet, just **two weeks** after entering the White House, Joe Biden reversed his predecessor’s policy, returning 500 soldiers who had departed.

The President also scrapped plans to relocate the Stuttgart-based US Africa Command, which effectively embeds Washington in the armed forces of 53 countries across the continent, elsewhere in Europe. **Research shows** the Command’s training programs have precipitated a significant rise in the number of military coups in Africa.

As Agee attested, US military bases are a hotbed of CIA spies. Berlin therefore must remain “one of the most important operational areas for far-reaching CIA programs”, whether Germans like it or not.