



neverhadaboss.com

updates on the insane world of money and power

*Our Prospects For Avoiding 3rd-Party Control*

There's an article on ZeroHedge supporting an idea that when the volatility of inflation again rears its ugly head, with printing presses again running 'white hot', Americans will flock into bitcoin, giving the crypto-currency a broad, much needed, public acceptance.

Maybe, but I'm not convinced. Inflation is volatility incarnate, but from my view, so has been bitcoin. Again, it's surpassed \$50K, after having fallen below \$30K, after having risen from \$3K to \$60K. This may not be 'bitcoin inflation', but it's too much volatility for me. I don't want my hard-earned, much needed, wealth—subjected to such turmoil.

Bitcoin may find a general acceptance needed to become a 'store of value', but comparing its volatility to 'pedestrian' moves of gold and especially silver, I am more comfortable with a 'sideways direction', for now, knowing that governments are in 'full-on' suppression of precious metals in support of dollar viability, while, at the same time, central banks are buying gold at unprecedented pace—while none of them buy bitcoin.

Elizabeth Warren (schoolmarm with fangs), consistently spews her rhetoric, her plans to take bitcoin ('and any other little private cryptos'), out at the knees. But now, she's come out celebrating the 15 year bitcoin anniversary and founder Satoshi Nakamoto.

What might this mean? Possibly, rather than gaining acceptance across a wide array of Americans, bitcoin is in a process of being 'bought and sold' by the elite? The reason working-class young Americans go into bitcoin is the same reason older Americans seek refuge in gold and silver. We each look for protection from 3rd-party interference.

With its anonymity, bitcoin can provide that (as long as there is an internet). But, why are the Larry Fink's (BlackRock), of the world intent on accessing the bitcoin market? Is it profit motive, or, instead, acting as government agents—is there a planned takeover?

How about plain-old greed—replacing the ideology that caused young persons to seek bitcoin anonymity from 3rd-party control? Could the plan be as simple as allowing bitcoin price to soar to unimaginable highs, at the same time instilling fear of government takeover? Induce bitcoiners to get out while the gettin's good—back into dollars?

Research has begun to alter my perspective. With an assumption that elites intend to control the use of currency, why are they, seemingly, getting onto the bitcoin wagon? Do they intend to take over both the algorithm and the platform? Beyond bitcoin takeover, without need for banks other than the central bank (Fed), why is the public sector solidifying ongoing relationships with the private sector—as in Fink/Dimon/US?

'Globalists' such as Obama/Biden are willing to 'sell our souls' to a 'global collective', but their new paradigm, while embracing communism, is, in reality, a fascist confluence of public sector joined with private sector. With BlackRock functioning as an agent of government, has the private sector been invited to join in the government takeover?

At times, I've disagreed with my friend, Bill Holter, who has disdain for both parties in Congress. But, given that Republicans historically align with business, might not the red/blue motif (given party leaders control Congress), be functioning as a single, 'purple party'—both parties supporting 'narrative goals'—no matter the party in power?

Trump/Vivek came out against CBDC—a seemingly positive step. But what happens when citizens mount pressure against CBDC? Do elites then enlist 'corporate Stable-Coins' in a ploy to control the movement of dollars/currency—however possible? Were that to happen, would right/center legislators understand they are being used by elites?

The Western economy is in disarray, necessitating a mission wherein the very players now on the bitcoin bandwagon are those who want to digitalize all assets (including your home), with a plan to make available what you own, as accessible to the highest bidder. Proceeds from a transfer of your property would move to your digital CBDC account, not necessarily accessible at your discretion—instead controlled by a 3rd party. CBDC is a simple plan/basic tactic to achieve a condition where we all become renters.

With the aim of CBDC to be absolute control of wealth/private ownership (that which our founders referred to as 'inalienable pursuit of happiness'), we need take all available steps to distance/disallow ourselves from being forced under 3rd-party control.

Because bitcoin is virtual/not real, there are more possibilities for 3rd party manipulation than with gold. But a fixed number of 17 million bitcoins secures the algorithm, and bitcoin is secure in 'a wallet' for which—only the owner has a code. Easier to transport than gold, bitcoin provides the better vehicle for when you need 'to get out of Dodge'.

That said, bitcoin is the 'ascendant' problem for central banks whereas gold is an 'historical' problem. Gold, in private hands, has always been a problem. But now, in the West, less of a problem because elites have been hard at it, vilifying/cancelling gold.

Bitcoin holders, especially the young, inexperienced as to how far government will go to take control, don't understand the age-old value of gold or silver in your hand. Safely stored from 3rd party view, government has little/no control over precious metal.

Just as government's campaign to end 'fossil fuels' is now forced to back away from the EV revolution because buyers don't want them, will bitcoin suffer the same fate after the 2024 election, when a shaky dollar is measured by central bank wealth—gold?

The problem facing bitcoin is an elite necessity for that platform/algorithm to be under government control, while gold/silver, held in private hands, is likely not important when compared to funding proposals for Ukraine/Israel/Taiwan—taxpayer giveaways.

What happens to gold/bitcoin under CBDC? Dollars are a necessary liability under CBCD as an account balancer. Gold, silver, bitcoin, don't need a 3rd person to settle—they are each their own private currency. But gold and silver, 'when push comes to shove', are historically recognized currency for barter—bitcoin lacks wide acceptance.

US Treasuries are a basis for 'wealth'. The dollar (worse-off than reported), is the currency of that 'wealth'. Meanwhile, the amount of available gold is but 1/60th of the treasury printing rise. Soon, when the world, amidst dollar collapse, accepts gold as its store of value, gold/silver will go parabolic. Of this I have no doubt. Are you a stacker?

Receive my articles by email by request: erik@neverhadaboss.com. And, thank you.