



neverhadaboss.com

updates on the insane world of money and power
Government—As the Arbiter of Truth

When giving commentary on the Ukraine War, some pundits feel obligated to lead with an accepted narrative—*Putin is a thug*. Now, before critiquing the US Government, it is incumbent to remind listeners: "I love this country." What is it that you love *about* it?

Maybe it's our founding documents or your recollection of what the US used to be? Maybe you love that we ended segregation and made women equals, under the law?

Or, maybe you are deluded to where you love the newest member of the Supreme Court—hand-picked because she's a black woman? But, why didn't anybody ask her, before confirmation, if she understood that the Bill of Rights is intended to stop government from restricting free speech and liberty? Now, from the questions she asks, she apparently sees the 1st Amendment as something instilled to protect government.

Maybe you love the fact that the present case in the SC, *Missouri/Biden*, weighing whether government went too far in controlling speech on social platforms, involves *only government officials*? The SC is government, Biden is government, attorneys general arguing 'free speech' pros/con (government), along with backroom intelligence/administration planning sessions with a goal to strip us of our rights (also government).

Maybe you love that the 1st amendment is hardly a whisper in the proceedings—that neither citizen's rights nor Bill of Rights is either referred to or represented—in any way.

The fact is: the central issue for the United States, for that matter the entire world, is the fate of 1st/2nd Amendments—throw in the 4th for good measure. The idea elites are pushing for, in a country we supposedly love, is 1 SC 'finding' from affirming government a direct responsibility to protect citizens/speech from online 'misinformation'.

Progressive (actually *regressive*), SC justices are intent on protecting government from the ethos of the 1st Amendment. Which branch of government seeks such protection? The *Executive/Corporate* branch, which is now more powerful than Congress. The founding fathers could not have even imagined the Executive wielding such power.

Control is necessary to cover-up lies—and tyrannies have a shared need of censure, be it Bolshevism, National Socialism, or *American Progressives*. Some examples of 'misinformation' elites intend to use to cancel and charge criminally, are questioners concerned with 'election irregularity', US-funded, lab leak, stay at home, masking, transmission, adverse effects, excess deaths'. Questions asked to *subvert democracy*.

Between now and election day, it's a coin toss whether NATO troops march into

Ukraine. In that event, questioning a *patriotic war* will cease—legally. Once war is declared, the Espionage Act charges questioners as traitors—dealt with—under the law.

In a bid for government control, the House has voted to ban TikTok. Well not exactly. *Congress shall make no law*, means just what it says. Feds can't control what we read, so what they do is ban the 'app' on social platforms—as a threat to national security.

No sane American wants a *Chinese-like* government where 7 men decide (we may already have that). But a close look at a TikTok ban raises 1st Amendment concerns.

China is being charged with: influencing/controlling American youth by a foreign adversary. Using TikTok technology, China would be able to access young American's, personal data, with which they *could* then feed content to influence American views.

This reminds me of mRNA, when we were deemed traitors for opposing it. Or for questioning actual causes for the Ukraine war. Worse yet—doubting Biden's election.

'Social platforms' comply with US intelligence agencies so as to stay in business. This has been at the center of 'free speech' issues for decades, and has now found its way to the Supreme Court—where Biden, Bill of Rights haters seek support for tyranny.

Not to deny that China poses a real threat to the US, but has that threat been perpetuated by *US Foreign/Domestic Policy*? Granted, young Chinese males illegally cross into the US with dubious intent. But the US government is responsible for that.

Our manufacturing base, long ago, offshored to China. They took our jobs—no, we begged China to take them. Now Chinese are buying-up our croplands. They're stealing our farmland? No, they're buying it up (just like Bill Gates). We allow them to do it, doing our damndest to shut-down small farms/fossil fuels—for *climate change* agenda.

No sane government would allow any of this to take place. Is China a hostile nation or is that a description for a nation with which we are at war? Is China an adversarial nation? Maybe they are, but would they be—without 'rules-based' US foreign policy?

This recalls what the US did to Chinese cell phone giant, Huawei. We couldn't abide their penetrating the US market, so, to stop competition, we made a case that they *would* be able to collect information on Americans. As with non-specific charges against US citizen's free speech, there were no specific charges on Huawei. Meanwhile, our government collected information on Americans—lying about it—under oath.

But this isn't about 'collecting information'. This is about giving over control of information to government, stripping liberty, and censoring free speech in support of lies. Regarding free speech there is only 1 axiom: the cure for bad speech is more speech. The greater threat is not free speech but censoring questions that need to be asked.

We don't want to see the US greatly diminished, economically/militarily, on the world stage—even with a reasonable case that it might be better for the world. But, because the world is watching our parade of endless lies—this may prove to be the outcome.

One cannot overestimate the importance of a Supreme Court finding in favor of the Biden Administration having a legal basis to restrict free speech—the arbiter of truth. From my view, there is no depth Obama/Biden won't stoop to—to accomplish that end.

Information fed to us by US sources is lies—needing scrutinized. So, there are no *sacred cows*: not the US, Israel, Russia, or China. Who hates TikTok the most? Israel, a 'pipsqueak', egoist nation, for which China (TikTok), does not hold back when reporting on Gaza. Is TikTok info gathering dangerous? Every bit of information, before China sees it, passes through Larry Ellison, *Oracle*. Larry is Bibi's best buddy. So—go figure.

Get my articles by email with a request: erik@neverhadaboss.com. And thank you.

