

neverhadaboss.com updates on the insane world of money and power Follow the Money—Or the Power?

Money greases the tracks, but do those who have the money, also have the power? The biggest tell for me, recently was Boris Johnson showing up in Kiev to scuttle a nearly-completed peace deal between Russia and Ukraine. Why did Boris do that and, in that, who was he representing? Seems as if it would be the US, right? Maybe not?

I'm driving around Southern Texas (anybody want to have a coffee?). I've spent the last 1500 miles with a question: what's really going on in the world, and is it something new? Or, is it following an age-old paradigm? Or same/different—with a new twist to it?

Boris is in total denial about what he was doing in Kiev—but it did not go unnoticed. Britain's entire army would fit into the Super Bowl, and compared to many nations, their population is small. But, are they as ineffective as they are being made out to be? Britain is a small island with sparse resources, but for centuries they dominated the world. And now, they can claim much of the responsibility for 500K Ukrainian murders.

These thoughts brought others. In my attempt to understand how Palestine became a nightmare of inhumanity, and now genocide, I remembered that Britain was there at the onset, giving land that was not theirs to Israel. What was the plan behind that? Britain, with limited military power, exerted control over the world by pitting one side against another. They have done this everywhere for 100s of years—and are still at it.

The US empire is seen as the 'big bad wolf', and yes, they adopted the British play-book, to where the US hosts color revolutions and regime change all over the world. And because we have been the source for needed dollar to conduct destabilization, it appears the US is the brains behind global destabilization—but is that the whole story?

Our task as human beings is to reassess everything we think we know. Because of this I find myself wondering about many things. When I see Australia sounding-off about China and cutting way back on natural resources they provide China, I wonder?

Australia cannot do that alone, but as 1 member of the '5 Eyes' (NZ, AUS, US, Canada, UK), controlling so much of the globe infrastructure, do the Aussies feel confident to threaten, sanction, and rebuke China? And, are the '5 Eyes' still the dominant force?

Re-thinking Biden/Obama as 'globalists', where's the globalism? The West is at war with Russia, and it won't take much to pull China and Iran into a hot war. What if so-called 'collectivism' is nothing more than 'imperial colonization'—that's always been?

If the plan has been for Britain to foment factions and pick-up the spoils, like the US,

they have not had to win every battle. Instead, amidst chaos, they only have to be 'the last man standing'. Over centuries, Britain, rather than taking back her American colonies by force of arms worked to destroy the union. Have us come back—begging.

Britain (as pointed out in Richard Poe's books), colonized the US South, becoming the principal/only buyer of US cotton and selling finished goods to the US. The effect of this monopoly made it impossible for the industrialized North lot build factories in the South to produce cloth/clothing in the US. Was Britain a prime mover in our Civil War?

For certain, it fits the mold of divide/conquer. And it was this monopolistic control, resulting in a 10/1 trade deficit with Britain, that was cited by John Adams as 'the' reason for the American Revolution. In effect, British control of trade was killing the colonies.

Controlling much of global resources/workforce, Britain 'ran the show' such that previous global colonizers were reduced to has-been subjects, under British control.

Tracing US history, Britain (and it's banks), controlled who was and was not elected to the US presidency. For example, 1860, Buchanan, who was willing to let banks fail, which was antithetical to the Brits. Instead we got Lincoln/Douglas—2 bank supporters.

Everywhere we look, a tiny island is in the midst of it. Who armed Ukraine? It was US dollars but the 'efficient cause' was Canada and the UK who did training, etcetera.

Further making the case, Britain was a major factor behind our entry into WW1. So, now, ask yourself, what could be afoot to take apart a British economic/political infrastructure that has persisted for centuries? Ask yourself—who did/do the Brits hate?

The hated Andrew Jackson who devoted himself to getting rid of the 2nd US bank. And, of course, they hate Donald Trump. Why do they hate Trump? He's not as refined as the British monsters who quip as they stab you in the back. Triumph has never been accused of being 'livery'd gentry' in the English sense. Though often crude, he's unwilling to give over US sovereign power to NATO or British banking. But, on a base level, he understands that somebody/something got us to trade our manufacturing base to China, likening us to American colonies subjected to Britain by negative trade deficits.

There's more here, but I'm just going 'to cut to the chase'. The plan of all plans for the '5 Eyes' was to get rid of Putin, dismember Russia, and divide the spoils. All that oil—all that everything. But it didn't work—and it didn't work to the point that Britain and the 'little Brits' are on the chopping block. To rectify this—will '5/EU' risk nuclear war?

With Trump destroying Haley (the '5 Eye/EU' candidate), and the mood of the US shifting to where people are tired of Jan 6th lies, and 'Trumped-up' charges against the former president (great SC finding today), there is 'consternation in the henhouse'.

The US is getting ready to take back its sovereignty, and don't be surprised if we see 10 millions deportations over Trump's 2nd term. A bit of advice for the next president: Quit sanctioning the hell out of China. There's a better way. Work with the BRICS—convince them that a strong non-hegemonic US makes both world/trade better. And that can't be done without the world supporting US re-industrialization/manufacturing.

Times are 'a-changing'. Barring nuclear war, China, Russia, BRICS, seek a world where wealth is defined by trade among nations. To that end, Jerome Powell is attempting to wrest control of the dollar from Europe. At the same time, '5 Eyes'/Janet Yellen' wants to give Russia's FOREX to Zelenskyy. If she prevails—the US is finished.

Whatever happens, hard times are coming. There is a fix for the US that would involve strange domestic/international bedfellows. But it can be done. My next article.

Get my article by email with a request: erik@neverhadaboss.com. And thank you.