



neverhadaboss.com

updates on the insane world of money and power

Elite Cures for Our Ills—Are 'Blunt Force' Trauma

My wife is busy reading a human interest piece in the Times about a father and son, the son who is on the autism spectrum. As a pre-teen, the boy is way into learning Russian, so they are visiting Uzbekistan where the kid can talk to anybody/everybody.

I, on the other hand, am wondering why 1 in 28 boys (it used to be 1 in 10,000), is on the autism spectrum? At the same time, I'm responding to a reader who comments on my last article with: "There is no freedom in Russia". I ask her if she's been there?

She hasn't, but tells me she's done a lot of research. Okay, but does she read Putin/Lavrov speeches? Does she wonder why European polls, year after year, chose RT (*Russia Today*), as the unbiased network they listen to for uncensored discussion?

Another friend has never heard about 'excess deaths', a subject that, along with definitive examination of 'climate change', doesn't make the evening news. What makes the news is Putin's 'fraudulent' election victory where he received 88% of eligible votes. Portrayed as a dictatorship, Russians know it is not, and know who they trust to lead.

Who leads, reduces to 1 of 2. Humanity, left to its own devices, bumbles along as a kind of 'free market', making mistake after mistake, until it eventually gets it right—to avoid further pain. 'Free market humanity' is a ruthless system—but the one that works.

Elites by contrast, once they come to think of themselves as omniscient/omnipotent, proceed to solve humanity's ills through the use of 'blunt-force trauma'. As in, disregarding that viruses number in the billions (as with bacteria), they pick 1 and go after it—not considering the consequences for what that action might bring. Just as importing fast-multiplying cobras to eradicate field mice, might involve some unanticipated problems.

We can't even point to which 'blunt-force trauma' cure is worse. But let's try. What might be like introducing cobras across the US? 1000 years of scientific data about earth's climate finds periods much colder/warmer, when there were not homo sapiens producing CO₂. Given that, why might elites begin a graph at the 'industrial revolution'?

Why might elites want to control the production of CO₂? Could their mission be like US/Israeli foreign policy—to benefit mankind (that's a joke). No, it's not that because they could care less about the man on the street. Selling the fear of 'climate change' serves 2 purposes—a vehicle to contain/control all of humanity, and maybe in the short term, melt the Arctic enough to get at, and get out the oil/gas before reality interferes.

What reality? Global cooling. Ella Fitzgerald and Louis Armstrong had a 1937 hit:

"Let's Call The Whole Thing Off", breaking-up over: "You say tomato and I say tomato". The equivalent now, being: "You say 'chem-trail', I say 'con-trail' They are essentially the same thing, purposely obfuscated such that we plebeians don't see the intention.

Elites want to announce in 2029, before 2030, that they've defeated *global warming*—like 'field mice eradication'. They'll make it appear a success (a George Bush, carrier deck, Iraq thing—'Mission Accomplished'), but what happens shortly after they do?

From what climate science is telling us—'solar minimum' takes control, and we enter into another historical norm of 'global cooling'. But this time is different. "Daddy, why is the sky blue?" We answer her as parents do but we ask ourselves why the sky is not as blue as it used to be—blue skies have somehow become somewhat 'white skies'.

Why is that? The government allegedly offers carbon credits to airlines for creating more chem-trails during the day than at night—so as to block sunlight. If airlines don't comply, government will remind/sanction airlines over use of dastardly 'fossil fuels'.

When 'white skies' proves to be another 'spike protein' horror, using millions of flights to spread sunlight blocker (without referendum—a condition that will persist for years), what happens when 'solar minimum' combines with a couple of volcanoes going off? That's when we get to test Hillary's pronouncement that 'global heating' is more dangerous than 'global cooling'—heat kills faster than cold. Sorry, cold kills in a few hours.

Even after making life unsustainable on earth, elites will rationalize that they know what they are doing. Blocking sunlight was a good plan—a CBDC for the skies. Giving elites power to pick winners and losers. Deciding who gets rainfall and who does not.

At the risk of causing some readers concern, I see humanity as on a modern-day, global, 'Bataan Death March'. Unlike the 1942 'forced march' of 75K, American/Filipino troops in the Philippines, this will be a global event, caused by 2 things. Oil, that while we had it made lives easier by magnitudes, and elite ineptness concerning the future.

As we can see with EV and decaying electrical grids, there is no plan. The elites know they have to kill-off 75% of us before 'peak oil' becomes 'no oil', and to that end they concoct 'blunt-force trauma' missions. For that mission, everything is on the table.

Bringing us back to the question: since our problems are better solved in the 'free market' of humanity, how is it that the elite have convinced so many of their omnipotence/omniscience? Even a cursory look shows us that power belongs with the people.

But somehow that fact is being traded, throughout the Western world, for a feudal tyranny—in which the enemy is portrayed as open discussion and free speech. Even some that we depend on do not see a way out of this. Ron Paul, in an interview with Tucker Carlson says that the Republic is lost—it's death began with the murder of JFK. 'The force of darkness' (represented by the CIA?), made its move way back in 1963.

I go back and forth on the outcome of the horror we face. 2 friends, both unaware of 'excess deaths' having to do with mRNA, are each willing to challenge a narrative that they had accepted—with introduction of new data. Maybe, presenting facts is enough?

In terms of history, it wasn't that long ago I was in high school, back when the 1st Amendment was the measure of being an American. It was implicit then that the cure for 'bad' speech' was 'more speech'. That America's 'free speech' market, left to its own devices, would sort it out. Feathers would be ruffled—but that's the cost for a 'republic'.

Paul's view: "The Republic is gone." That said, he has faith in liberty, non aggression, and personal; responsibility. And, lest I forget—he reminds us to own gold/silver.

Get my articles by email with a request: erik@neverhadaboss.com. And thank you.