



neverhadaboss.com

updates on the insane world of money and power

What Do Elites Have In-Store For Us?

To better understand the destiny elites have in store for us we need an analogy—Palestine. Devastation rages in the 'holy land', under an obscure mission and outcome.

With 700,000 of the most militant Israelis 'settled/occupying' (illegally under UN Charter), any possibility for a 2-state solution is lost. And with Arab and Jewish populations each at 7.5 million (Arabs reproducing faster)—there is not a 1 state solution.

The Jewish state cannot abide a larger Arab population making demands for equal access, so what outcomes are left? We are seeing it in real time: populations of Gaza (and the West Bank), either have to be annihilated or driven out into the Sinai Desert.

This is what happens when governments allow time to dictate the terms, without any attempt to make a situation sustainable. This is analogous to the US and the West where elites have allowed greed and power to be the planners/motivators that have led to the present situation. Now, global elites, understanding that 8 billion people cannot be fed with a few loaves and fishes, and diminishing energy supply, are faced with a Gaza of their own.

Be assured elites will not take responsibility for what they have done—at the same time doing anything/everything to secure their hold on power. In that scenario either the elite or the plebeians will have to pay. I've seen the menu—all costs accrue to the plebs.

With no exact counterpart for the Sinai Desert, what do elites have in-store for us? Take them at their word: "You will own nothing, and be happy." 'Happy' is apparently a consequence of owning nothing, so how do they plan to get each of us into penury?

They have to get control of everything: our rights, liberty, political power, our bodies, communication and thoughts. Along with all things material—that just about covers it.

Because most of my articles deal with 'rights and liberty', 'communication and medicine' let's discuss how they plan to rid us of our stuff, our assets, those things material.

Some of you are familiar with David Webb, "The Great Taking". He thinks it is already coordinated to where the elite, 'in an instant', can take everything (for just about everything). This includes your stocks, securities, your house, bank account, and car.

It used to be that stock certificates were paper, and numbered—held in your possession. Not any more: what you own is an 'entitlement' held by a beneficiary that owns the security, that they then use as collateral. All stocks/securities share the same 1 pool—no accounts are segregated. If Webb is correct, you own no securities or stocks.

A 'protected class', secured creditors (big banks), control (own) all securities as col-

lateral for 'derivates'—including those free of debt. Who are these guys? Custodians have the records but not the assets—brokers are even farther down the food chain.

This 'protected class' was created in a 2005, Safe Harbor—safe for them—not you. If Webb is correct, this transfer of stocks and securities is ready to go and can happen in an instant. Cross-border transfer of collateral creates a situation where there is no one for plebeians to go after—we won't even know who it is that took our assets from us.

But, no worries, by then we will be funded by CBDC, but reflect on the Great Depression when most, except for a few big banks failed and depositors lost everything except their debt that was transferred/consolidated under the 'Federal Reserve'. And something else to chew on: The Fed has no money; they are funded by Treasury, and every penny comes to them as debt. When that debt gets transferred you—you owe it.

So much for your bonds and securities, stocks, and your savings. Moving to another question, what assets do we hold directly? Webb speculates that the same conditions that apply to securities applies to our homes and cars. Maybe—but I am thinking not.

Assuming he is correct that the auto dealer retains ownership of the collateral (your new car you bought for cash), and he used that collateral to borrow against. That doesn't explain how it is that you hold title to that vehicle from the state. I don't see how a car dealer can have passed ownership of your car when you hold the 'title' for it?

According to Webb, my home, with no mortgage, for which I hold the title, on which I pay taxes on, is at risk. I don't see how ownership of my home can there be transferred cross-border, electronically to unknown owners? Anticipating such a crime. I obtained home insurance from Mr's. Smith and Wesson—with their AR-15 homeowner's policy.

David Webb says to get out of debt, even sell the house and rent—but if you don't own your assets, why bother? He goes on to say that what has been set up by the elite is a 'construct', likely illegal. Constitutionally he is correct, but he reckons the only way out of this dilemma is through the law-courts. How's that working out for Jan 6 victims?

David doesn't point to the 3rd Reich as another 'construct', in a time when law needed to be 'taken in our own hands'. If Klaus Schwab has it in mind that everything we've worked for gets transferred at the speed of light—then it's time to 'stand on our ground'.

As Pepe Escobar would say: "So there you go." There is some expectation that during the 'Great Re-take', asset values will fall 80%—not that it matters much to plebeians. Intentionally, 'big banks' need your assets and deposits because they extended themselves with computer clicks, amassing debt, in some cases equal to global GDP.

To rectify the situation they made certain there were no counterparties with which you could hedge risk—then 1 more click disappears your entire 'pursuit of happiness'. Custodial fraud does not protect you from losing assets. At best you are entitled to only a pro-rata share in insolvency. No worries, once derivates settle, nothing is left for you.

Webb doesn't mention it but, if you sell assets, you can protect yourself (as much as we can be protected), by purchasing gold/silver. When we understand the degree of 'criminal insanity' that we face, the only role for us is that of the 'outlaw—maybe pirate'.

Let me suggest just who the elite are: a combination of a big personality, but lacking the quality of wanting to be left alone. Some of us, even with big personas, lack much desire to inflict ourselves on others. If elites would leave us alone—we'd just go away.

Maniacal elites are of the opposite condition. Makes me think about illegals crossing the Southern border. Is the plan to use illegals to police elite policy? Got gold/silver?

Get my articles by email with a request: erik@neverhadaboss.com. And thank you.