

mRNA “Vaccines”, Eugenics and the Push for Transhumanism

By BraveNewWorld - Aug 9, 2021



The worldwide rollout of mRNA “vaccines” is part of a much larger agenda that encompasses eugenics and transhumanism. This agenda is being funded and promoted by a network of global institutions, politicians, and billionaire technocrats.

In 1989, researchers from the Salk Institute in California published a paper detailing how they [developed an RNA transfection system](#) that could “directly introduce RNA into whole tissues and embryos”. The concept of using RNA as a drug is first described in this paper, making it the seminal work that formed the foundation for decades of further research in this area. The “Discussion” section of the paper states that:

“The RNA/lipofectin method can be used to directly introduce RNA into whole tissues and embryos (R.W.M., C. Holt, and I.M.V., unpublished results), raising the possibility that liposome-mediated mRNA transfection might offer yet another option in the growing technology of eukaryotic gene delivery, one based on the concept of using RNA as a drug.”

One of the Salk Institute researchers listed on the paper is Dr. Robert W. Malone, a scientist who has recently [been censored](#) on social media for warning about the possible dangers of the covid-19 vaccines. It could be argued that there’s no expert more qualified to warn us about the dangers of mRNA injections than the man who helped pioneer the technology, nevertheless, Big Tech decided he was expounding “misinformation”, because, well, they know better apparently.

Malone’s research, which resulted in a procedure that could be used to “efficiently transfect RNA into human cells” using a “synthetic cationic lipid” was supported by grants from the American Cancer Society and the National Institute of Health (who currently have a stake in the Moderna mRNA vaccine, showing their allegiance to the technology. More on this later).

While Malone's contributions to the development of mRNA technology are well-known and well-documented, Wikipedia decided to remove all mention of him from their "RNA Vaccine" entry shortly after the scientist began speaking out about the dangers of the rushed-through covid vaccines. The [June 14th version](#) of the article mentioned Malone by name 3 times and cited his work 6 times. The current version of the article mentions him 0 times and cites his work only 3 times.

However, this is unsurprising considering [Wikipedia's documented bias](#) towards the pharmaceutical industry. Far more interesting is the institution that produced the research in the first place – the Salk Institute. The Salk Institute, named after Jonas Salk, the creator of the Salk polio vaccine, was constructed in 1962 [thanks to funding](#) from the National Foundation for Infantile Paralysis, today known as the March of the Dimes.

The March of the Dimes (MOD) was established in 1937 with the mission of eradicating polio and during a time when the Eugenics Establishment was already a prominent, but not yet popular, feature of the American health scene. [The theory of Eugenics](#) is based on the idea that selective procreation can lead to the gradual "improvement" of the human race and that certain families are fit to lead society by virtue of their "superior" genes.

At the time, the nation's key eugenics organizations included the American Eugenics Society (AES) and the American Society of human Eugenics (ASHE), funded by the Rockefeller, Carnegie and Harriman families, as well as the Rockefeller Institute for Medical Research. It should be noted that the Rockefellers [were instrumental](#) in funding and promoting eugenics around the world. The Eugenics movement promoted selective mating, artificial insemination and compulsory sterilization and euthanasia as important means of weeding out so-called "inferior" human beings.

The first sterilization law in the US was passed in 1907, in the state of Indiana, and by 1931, many more states had followed suit by enacting similar laws. [According to the Indiana Historical Bureau](#):

"In 1907, Governor J. Frank Hanly approved first state eugenics law making sterilization mandatory for certain individuals in state custody."

Those sterilized under eugenics law [were deemed "undesirable"](#) on account of mental or physical impairments such as epilepsy, blindness and physical disabilities, as well as "social inadequacies" such as drug addiction or criminality. According to estimates, around 60,000 individuals were sterilized under such laws, deprived of their right to have children and forever branded as "feeble-minded".

In fact, the prominence of the American eugenics movement [resulted in its adoption by the National Socialist Party of Germany](#), which sterilized more than 350,000 persons by the end of the second world war. After WW2, eugenics notions were dropped from public conversation, but the movement never

dissipated, no, instead it was “re-branded” using more acceptable terminology such as “population control” and “reproductive health”, as we shall see later on.

The emergence of the MOD as a major player in the American Eugenics movement can be traced back to the organization’s early association with the Rockefeller Institute from where it procured many of its key members and advisers, including professor Anton Julius Carlson, a [member of the American Eugenics Society](#), recruited to serve on the MOD’s Medical and Research Committees and Professor Clair E. Turner, another AES member who served as assistant to then President, Basil O’Connor.

Just before the establishment of the Salk Institute, the MOD announced it would be [phasing out its polio programs](#) and focusing its resources on “birth defects”. In 1959, the MOD funded courses in “medical genetics” at the Jackson Laboratory in Maine, a genetics institute founded in 1929 by Clarence Cook Little, who, [“at one time or another”](#) served as the president of the American Eugenics Society, the American Birth Control League and the American Euthanasia Society.

Jackson Laboratory’s claimed mission is “to discover precise genomic solutions for disease and empower the global biomedical community in its shared quest to improve human health.” Noteworthy is that the lab received [increased funding](#) in 2020, largely from the National Institute of Health (NIH), including a grant of \$10.6 million to find treatments for rare genetic diseases by using gene-editing technologies. And at the start of the coronavirus “pandemic”, the lab worked to develop [genetically modified mice](#) for use in vaccine studies and other research related to Sars-Cov-2.

Beginning in the 1960s, the MOD [financed several “Birth Defects Prevention Centers”](#) located at medical institutions across the US. These new centers offered prenatal testing via amniocentesis to determine whether a baby would be born with “defects” and then gave the couple the opportunity to abort the affected child.

The MOD has also [made direct donations](#) to Planned Parenthood, a clear contradiction of their [claimed mission](#), which is to “fight for the health of all moms and babies”. Planned Parenthood is a non-profit organization that provides “reproductive health care” in the US and abroad. From 2019-2020 the organization [committed over 350,000 abortions](#) and has been criticized as “steering resources away from women’s health and toward abortion.” Unsurprisingly, a look into the organization’s history reveals that Planned Parenthood has its roots in Eugenics ideals.

Planned Parenthood was founded by Margaret Sanger, who, far from a “birth control activist”, as the mainstream would have you believe, was a racist eugenicist who sought to rid the world of “unfit” human stock. In her essay, [“A Plan for Peace”](#), she describes the main objects of her proposed “Population Congress” which includes

“a stern and rigid policy of sterilization and segregation to that grade of population whose progeny is tainted, or whose inheritance is such that objectionable traits may be transmitted to offspring.”

She also mentions the need to “control the intake and output of morons, mental defectives, epileptics.”

As mentioned earlier, these Eugenics ideals inspired the Nazis who took many of Sanger’s ideas and ran with them, so to speak. In his book, *The War Against the Weak*, Edwin Black details how the Nazi sterilization law of 1933 as well as subsequent euthanasia laws were based on blueprints drawn up by Sanger and other American “activists”. In fact, associates of Sanger [knew about these Nazi euthanasia programs](#) and praised them.

Coming back to the Salk Institute, it should be noted that the mainstream account of the 20th-century polio outbreak, namely the notion that the disease is caused by a virus and that Dr. Salk’s miracle vaccine was single-handedly responsible for ending the epidemic, is dubious and likely altogether false.

Paralytic polio appeared suddenly in the US in the early 1900s with continual, dramatic fluctuations in cases – a pattern that continued until the end of the 1950s. The introduction of the Salk vaccine in 1954 seemed to coincide with the almost instantaneous decline in cases, which continued for more than two decades.

But prior to being called “polio”, conditions involving infirmity of the limbs were known by various other names including apoplexy, palsy and paralysis. Many historical writings refer to paralysis resulting from exposure to toxic substances and many of these accounts were documented by Dr. Ralph Scobey [in his 1952 statement](#) to the Select Committee to Investigate the Use of Chemicals in Food Products titled *The Poison Cause of Poliomyelitis and Obstructions to its Investigation*.

Scobey’s paper includes references to several investigations that seemed to indicate a link between polio outbreaks in the 20th century and the consumption of fresh fruit, providing a link between Polio and toxic pesticide exposure. One crop pesticide in widespread use at the time was DDT, a [highly toxic organochlorine](#) that was [widely publicized as being “good for you”](#), but eventually banned in 1972. In 1953, Dr Morton Biskind [published a paper](#) in the American Journal of Digestive Diseases pointing out that:

“McCormick (78), Scobey (100-101), and Goddard (57), in detailed studies, have all pointed out that factors other than infective agents are certainly involved in the etiology of polio, varying from nutritional defects to a variety of poisons which affect the nervous system.”

The danger of toxic pesticides, including DDT, and their disastrous effects on the environment were illustrated by Rachel Carson in her 1962 book, *Silent Spring*.

In more recent times, researchers, Dan Olmstead, co-founder of the Age of Autism, and Mark Blaxil conducted two [brilliant investigations](#) into the polio epidemics of the 20th century, reaching a similar conclusion to Scobey and Biskind, namely that the disease was caused by the widespread use of neurotoxic pesticides such as arsenite of soda and DDT.

Although Salk's vaccine was hailed as a success, the vaccine itself [caused many cases](#) of injury and paralysis. And though there does appear to be a convincing correlation between the timing of the vaccine and the reduction in polio cases, as all good scientists know, causation doesn't equal correlation, especially considering the fact that DDT was phased out, at least in the US, over the same period.

Interestingly, Dr. Salk's polio research [was funded](#) by the mother of Cordelia Scaife May, an heiress to the Mellon family banking fortune who idealized Margaret Sanger and later joined the board of the International Planned Parenthood Foundation. May's views on immigration were radical, to say the least, and according to some, she favoured compulsory sterilization as a means to limit birth rates in developing countries. May later joined the board of the [Population Council](#), an organization founded by John D. Rockefeller III focused on population reduction. In 1995, the Population Council collaborated with the WHO to create [fertility regulating vaccines](#).

It would be a mistake to think that the polio epidemic was not related to the current 'age of vaccination' we find ourselves in. On the contrary, claiming that polio was "eradicated in the United States" due to vaccination alone is a lie that garnered public favour for childhood vaccinations and helped to set the groundwork for the widespread belief in the safety and efficacy of all vaccines. Diseases such as polio and smallpox (another lie that is beyond the scope of this article), and the subsequent pro-vaccine propaganda, "primed" much of the population to accept, without question, an experimental jab based on poorly understood technology.

Twisting the Science

In 1997, 8 years after the Salk Institute paper, the FDA [approved the first ever trial](#) of transfected RNA to develop immunity in cancer patients. The Recombinant DNA Advisory Committee of the National Institute of Health then voted to continue approval some months later, leading to the first-ever mRNA-based vaccine administered to humans.

Though mRNA is propagandized in the media as the next revolution in health, those with keen perception may be alarmed when reading excerpts such as this one, taken from [an article on the history of mRNA](#), written by Damian Garde, a Biotech reporter for STATS:

"The concept: By making precise tweaks to synthetic mRNA and injecting people with it, any cell in the body could be transformed into an on-demand drug factory."

Talk of cells being turned into “on-demand drug factories” is exactly the sort of meaningless techno-rhetoric meant to impress and entice an uninformed public. mRNA vaccines are based on the following concept: a piece of synthetic mRNA is shuttled into your cells, where it is used as a template to create the viral “spike protein”. Once this protein leaves the cell, the body produces [antibodies](#) and “learns” how to fight future Sars-Cov-2 infections.

mRNA-based vaccines are often touted as a safer alternative to DNA-based vaccines, which, [according to experts](#) “may trigger permanent and dangerous changes in the genetic information of treated people”. However, do we know for sure that mRNA vaccines don’t permanently change the genetic makeup of our cells? [A 2001 paper](#) titled *RNA as a tumor vaccine: a review of the literature* states that (emphasis added):

“unlike DNA-based vaccines, there is **little** danger of incorporation of RNA sequences into the host genome.”

The use of the word “little” would seem to indicate that there may be at least some danger of genome integration, or more likely, researchers simply don’t know.

In the 2004 “expert opinion” paper by Pascolo cited above, he outlines the link between mRNA vaccines and gene therapies, something which is continually denied and dismissed by the mainstream:

“Although located in the cytosol and not in the nucleus, mature mRNAs belong to the biochemical family of nucleic acids. mRNA, similarly to DNA, may be considered a gene and, consequently, it’s use as a vaccine may be viewed as ‘gene therapy’.”

Interestingly, it is purely due to a technicality of regulatory law that covid-19 gene therapies are allowed to be called “vaccines”. [This is explained](#) in a paper titled *The European Regulatory Environment of RNA-Based Vaccines*, which states that:

“The definition of a gene therapy medicinal product as outlined in Annex 1 to Directive 2001/83/EC is as follows:

Gene therapy medicinal product means a biological medicinal product which has the following characteristics:

(a) it contains an active substance which contains or consists of a recombinant nucleic acid used in or administered to human beings with a view to regulating, repairing, replacing, adding or deleting a genetic sequence;

(b) its therapeutic, prophylactic or diagnostic effect relates directly to the recombinant nucleic acid sequence it contains, or to the product of genetic expression of this sequence.

Gene therapy medicinal products shall not include vaccines against infectious diseases.”

As is evident, the mere act of calling a gene therapy a “vaccine against infectious disease” negates its classification as a gene therapy, the approval process for which, at least in Europe, involves [going through the CAT](#) which is the EMA’s (European Medicines Agency) “Committee for Advanced Therapies”. Evidently, this play on language would seem to constitute a “loophole” of sorts, allowing easier approval for mRNA-based gene therapies planned for human use.

Approval is certainly a contentious topic when talked about in the context of the current covid-19 vaccines, none of which have been fully FDA approved, only authorized under emergency use (EUA), and [labeled as “investigational” products](#), a fact that many people are unaware of. However, early in the year vaccine manufacturers already set their sights on full regulatory approval, after only 6 months of trial data. On the 7th of May, Pfizer formally initiated their application to the FDA, with the aim of having the first-ever fully approved covid-19 vaccine. But with millions of vaccines already administered under EUA, what’s the rush?

Furthermore, for the six “first in disease” vaccines approved by the FDA over the last 15 years, the median trial duration [was just shy of two years](#). A vaccine approved after 6 months of data would constitute one of the fastest ever. The [phase three clinical trials](#) for Pfizer, Moderna and Janssen are two years in duration, but the FDA has not clearly stated their position with regards to minimum follow-up prior to consideration for approval.

Longer, placebo-controlled trials are paramount to assessing vaccine safety. It is extremely alarming then that vaccine manufacturers, within weeks of receiving EUA, began to [unblind trials](#) by offering those in the placebo group the chance to get vaccinated. [Moderna announced](#) that “as of April 13, all placebo participants have been offered the Moderna covid-19 vaccine and 98% of those have received the vaccine”, meaning that their placebo group no longer exists and as such, they have no way to accurately measure long-term safety.

In an [article for the British Medical Journal](#), Peter Doshi quotes the FDA, on several occasions, saying that the maintenance of a placebo group would be critical to assessing both the safety and efficacy of covid-19 vaccines, which is obvious to anyone who understands the consequences of failing to adhere to scientific rigor when testing a new medical therapy.

In reality, there could be many reasons for manufacturers wanting FDA approval for their vaccines, but likely top of the list is the “stamp of approval” that comes with full licensure and the ability to use this as a way to convince those who remain skeptical regarding the safety and efficacy of the vaccines. Moreover, full FDA approval would pave the way for easier vaccine mandates, putting immense pressure on those of the “awakened class” who represent a thorn in the side of the Great Reset/Great Convergence agenda pushers.

More disturbing inconsistencies can be found in the FDA's process for assessing and approving these experimental vaccines. For example, [the FDA recently cautioned](#) against the use of antibody tests for evaluating immunity or protection from covid-19, "especially" after a person has received a vaccination, despite their EUA being originally granted, in part, due to [antibody responses](#). The implication for this reversal is that the EUA given for covid-19 vaccines should also be reversed, but what's the likelihood of that happening after millions have already been jabbed?

Moreover, the idea that "antibodies" provide protection from so-called viral infections represents a poor understanding of the body and the immune system. The fact that antibodies play little role in viral infections has been known by medical scientists since the 1950s [based on research](#) that shows persons with the genetic inability to produce antibodies, called "agammaglobulinemia", have normal reactions to typical viral infections and even appear to resist recurrences.

Bill Gates, Moderna and Eugenics 2.0

One of the covid-19 vaccine manufacturers most talked about in the media is Moderna, a biotech company co-founded by Robert Langer, a researcher and inventor at MIT. In 2013, the biotech startup [received \\$25m in funding](#) from DARPA (the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency), a research arm of the United States Department of Defense, and an organization well-known for ruthlessly pursuing [dystopian, transhumanist technologies](#), such as implantable nanoparticles and bio-brain interfaces (more on this later).

Noteworthy is that the US government, through the National Institute of Health, [appears to have a financial stake](#) in the Moderna vaccine thanks to a contract signed by both parties, giving the NIH joint ownership over Moderna's mRNA vaccine candidates. According to Axios:

"The NIH mostly funds outside research, but it also often invents basic scientific technologies that are later licensed out and incorporated into drugs that are sold at massive profits."

This is more than alarming considering the NIH is responsible for [prioritizing promising treatments](#) for covid-19 as well as improving clinical trial effectiveness, which, for Moderna, is impossible considering their trial no longer contains a control group. NIH's vested interest in Moderna's success may also provide a plausible explanation for why the biotech startup received EUA for their vaccine [despite failing](#), for over 10 years, to bring a single product to market.

[In an interview for Economic Club](#), NIH director Francis Collins denied that covid-19 vaccines would be money-makers, saying that "Nobody sees this as a way to make billions of dollars". However, evidence points to the contrary as Moderna's covid-19 vaccine sales reached \$1.7 billion in the first quarter of 2021, making their CEO, Stephane Bancel, one of the [many new pharma billionaires](#).

“Operation Warp Speed”, the name given to a partnership between several US Federal agencies aimed at accelerating the development of a covid-19 vaccine, was also wrought with conflicts of interest. The Operation Warp Speed administration hired several “consultants” with ties to Big Pharma, including two former Pfizer executives. And in May 2020, it was reported that their chief adviser, Dr. Moncef Slaoui, a former pharmaceutical executive himself, [held \\$10m in GlaxoSmithKline stock](#), the same company that was later awarded a \$2 billion contract to supply the US government with 100 million vials of covid-19 vaccine. Dr. Slaoui also held significant stock in Moderna, to whom the federal government [has awarded over \\$2.5b in funding](#).

Moderna co-founder, Robert Langer, whose net worth has also [skyrocketed into the billions](#), is one of the world’s most cited researchers. A scientist at MIT, Langer holds over 1,400 patents and specializes in biotechnology, nanotechnology, tissue engineering and drug delivery. Furthermore, Langer holds an administrative role at the [MIT Media Lab](#), the same institute that was the focus of a scandal after it was revealed that the lab accepted funding from convicted sex-offender, Jefferey Epstein. Epstein also happened to have a [disturbing fascination with “transhumanism”](#), a modern-day version of eugenics (transhumanism is discussed later in this article).

Then director of the MIT Media Lab, Joi Ito, [approved two donations](#) from Epstein of \$1.75m and allowed the prolific paedophile to “direct” funds to the lab from other wealthy benefactors, including a \$2m donation from Bill Gates, who also has unsettling ties to Epstein, having [flown on his private jet](#) and met with him on several occasions. When the news broke out and Joi Ito resigned from his post at the lab, Langer was one of the first people to [sign a letter calling for him to stay](#), and as an administrator for the lab’s Director’s Office, it’s hard to believe he didn’t know about the Epstein donations in advance.

Described as the “common denominator” in several coronavirus efforts, Robert Langer is certainly an interesting player in the transhumanist movement. In 2015, his company, Microchips Biotech, partnered with Israeli pharmaceutical giant, Teva Pharmaceutical, to commercialize its [“implantable drug delivery device”](#). Noteworthy is that Teva Pharmaceutical has received [significant investment from Warren Buffett](#), who, in 2006, pledged to gradually donate his fortune to the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, an organization whom he served as a trustee up until very recently.

Langer also has ties to Charles Lieber, a Harvard nanotech scientist [who was arrested](#) in January on account of making false statements to federal authorities regarding his collaboration with Chinese researchers at the Wuhan University of Technology. In 2012, Langer and Lieber [worked together](#) to create a “material that merges nanoscale electronics with biological tissues”. The material was described as “a first step toward prosthetics that communicate directly with the nervous system”.

Much of Langer’s research is backed by Bill Gates, who began funding mRNA technology in 2010 and has also [invested millions](#) into Moderna. In 2017, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation [sponsored a project](#) at Langer’s lab to create

a microparticle vaccine delivery system that could generate a “novel type of drug carrying particle”, allowing multiple doses of a vaccine to be administered over an extended period of time with just one injection. Then in 2019, Gates and Langer [teamed up again](#) to create an invisible ink tattoo that “embeds immunization records into a child’s skin”. Disturbingly, the eventual goal of the project is to inject sensors that can be used to track “other aspects” of health.

Gates claims he needs the data for “disease prevention”, referring to his efforts to wipe out polio, measles and other “infectious” diseases from around the world. However, Gates’ various “health-related” initiatives in developing countries are not the work of a loving philanthropist, like the media would have us all believe. Instead, evidence would suggest that Gates’ involvement in public health represents the continuation of a long-standing eugenics agenda, hiding in plain sight. Gates’ links to the eugenics movement start with his father, who praised the Rockefellers for their work in “public health” and even [met with them in 2000](#) to discuss matters relating to infectious disease, vaccines and the environment. During the meeting, Gates senior was quoted as saying:

“Taking our lead and our inspiration from work already done by The Rockefeller Foundation, our foundation actually started GAVI by pledging \$750 million to something called the Global Fund for Children’s Vaccines, an instrument of GAVI.”

Interestingly, almost ten years after that meeting, Gates junior [co-hosted a meeting](#) with David Rockefeller to discuss population reduction.

Perhaps even more telling is the fact that in 2012 Bill and Melinda Gates hosted their London Summit on Family Planning, where they announced their commitment to population control in the third world, on the 100th anniversary of the [First International Eugenics Congress](#), also held in London.

Gates is well-known for his obsession with vaccines, a curious pursuit considering that the 9,000,000 people who die every year from hunger would be better served by having clean water, food supplies and sanitary living environments. In 2009, [Gates’ Foundation funded observational studies in India](#) for a [controversial cervical cancer vaccine](#) that was given to thousands of young girls called “Gardasil”. Within months, many girls began to get sick and within a year, five of them had died. During a similar study for a different brand of the HPV vaccine, many girls were hospitalized and a further two died. The Economic Times of India reported on this in 2014, with the shocking revelation that:

“Consent for conducting these studies, in many cases, was taken from the hostel wardens, which was a flagrant violation of norms. In many other cases, thumbprint impressions of their poor and illiterate parents were duly affixed onto the consent form. The children also had no idea about the nature of the disease or the vaccine. The authorities concerned could not furnish requisite consent forms for the vaccinated children in a huge number of cases.”

Gates has also heavily promoted the oral polio vaccine in India, after endeavouring to eradicate the disease. However, as discussed earlier in this article, toxic chemicals are involved in the etiology of polio and thus the disease cannot be eradicated by the use of vaccines. In fact, global health numbers indicate that more cases of polio are now being [caused by the vaccines themselves](#) than anything else. In 2018, a group of brave Indian researchers [published a paper](#) in the *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* showing a correlation between the oral polio vaccine drives and increased cases of “acute flaccid paralysis”, a condition described as “clinically indistinguishable” from polio.

Ironically, Gates has a [\\$23m investment](#) in Monsanto, the company that markets “roundup” a glyphosate-containing pesticide that is known to [cause adverse health effects](#), including neurological disorders and paralysis.

While many believe Gates to be selflessly giving away his money in order to fund these vaccination campaigns, it should be noted that Gates’ investment in vaccines has netted him a massive return. By 2019, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation had donated just over \$10b to various vaccine-related initiatives including GAVI (the Global Alliance for Vaccines and Immunization). Gates called it the “best investment he’s ever made”, estimating [a 20-1 return](#), or around \$200b over 20 years. Indeed, [Gates’ net worth](#) has more than doubled over the last 10 years.

And lest we forget that more than half of all deaths in low to middle income countries are caused by noncommunicable diseases, which the Bill and Melinda Foundation seems to have little interest in, directing [less than 3% of their budget](#) towards such conditions.

Furthermore, Gates’ activities in public health are wrought with conflicts of interest that that would seem to undermine the notion that Gates cares about the health of the population. Many of these conflicts of interest are outlined in a [study published by Harvard researcher, David Stuckler](#), titled *Global Health Philanthropy and Institutional Relationships: How Should Conflicts of Interest Be Addressed?*, in which he states that:

“As one example, we found that Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation has substantial holdings in the Coca-Cola Corporation, and also participates in grants that encourage communities in developing countries to become business affiliates of Coca-Cola. It has been noted by some commentators that sugary drinks such as those produced by Coca-Cola are correlated with the rapid increase in obesity and diabetes in developing countries.”

Stuckler also notes that:

“Many of the Foundation’s pharmaceutical development grants may benefit leading pharmaceutical companies such as Merck and GlaxoSmithKline.” And that “Several grants are linked to companies that are represented on the Foundation’s board among its investments.”

The media rarely reports on these disturbing conflicts of interest, which isn't surprising considering [Gates funds](#) all the major news outlets.

To call the negligent, wide-spread administration of covid-19 experimental vaccines an initiative steeped in eugenicist thinking would not be amiss considering how many figures and institutions involved in the vaccine race have ties to the eugenics movement. In fact, the developers of the Oxford-AstraZeneca vaccine are also linked to the now renamed British Eugenics Society, founded by the father of Eugenics, Francis Galton. These connections are detailed by investigative journalist, Whitney Webb, in her article titled [Developers of Oxford-AstraZeneca Vaccine Tied to UK Eugenics Movement](#).

When it comes to protecting public health, the recklessness displayed by politicians, scientists and pharmaceutical companies is unforgivable considering the widespread impact that these experimental vaccines will have. We have already begun to see the results of unleashing a dangerous gene therapy technology on a naive and trusting public, with [VAERS](#), (the Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System) showing more deaths linked with covid-19 vaccines than all other vaccines combined over the last 30 years.

None of this is surprising though, considering the haste with which clinical trials were conducted and the question marks surrounding the reliability of the data reported. For example, vaccine manufactures reported their vaccines were "95% effective", a number they arrived at by using a relative risk reduction as opposed to an absolute risk reduction, which was [around 1% in most cases](#), a fact never highlighted by the mainstream media.

Furthermore, vaccine trials were not designed to assess the vaccines' effect on infection, transmission, hospitalizations or deaths, which is puzzling considering that, if there really was a viral pandemic, these would be the most important endpoints to test for. Though perhaps this was a calculated move by vaccine manufacturers, who knew they'd have a better chance at rigging the results using the endpoint of ['covid-19 of any severity'](#). After all, the dramatic increase in the use of influenza vaccines [has not been associated](#) with a decrease in mortality.

Peter Doshi, an editor for the British Medical Journal, has [called into question](#) numerous aspects of the [controversial vaccine trials](#), including the potential for pain medication to mask covid-19 symptoms in trial groups and the objectivity of "primary event adjudication committees" in charge of counting covid-19 cases. In the case of Pfizer, this committee consisted of Pfizer employees.

Recently, Doctors for Covid Ethics, a group consisting of Dr. Michael palmer MD, Dr. Sucharit Bhakdi MD and Dr. Stefan Hockertz PhD, published an [expert statement](#) relating to the danger and efficacy of the Pfizer vaccine that was submitted as part of a lawsuit challenging the EU's authorization of the use of the vaccine for children 12 years and older. The paper states that the reported efficacy of the Pfizer mRNA vaccine was "most likely altogether fraudulent" and that "Pfizer, the EMA, and the FDA have systematically neglected evidence

from preclinical animal trials that clearly pointed to grave dangers of adverse events.”

But of course, none of this is ever surfaced in the mainstream. Instead we are fed the same party lines over and over; “vaccines are safe and effective”, “follow the science”, “listen to the experts”. And by “experts” they of course mean the soulless, pharmaceutical sock puppets like Dr. Anthony Fauci, the director of the U.S. National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases whose been [spewing lies](#) about so-called viral infections ever since AIDS broke out in 1984. The fact that a character like Fauci has held his post for more than 30 years is rather telling of how the system works. The late Nobel prize winner and inventor of Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR), Kary Mullis, [castigated Fauci in an interview](#), saying that:

“He doesn’t know anything really about anything, and I’d say that to his face. Nothing. The man thinks you can take a blood sample and stick it in an electron microscope and if it’s got a virus in there, you will know it. He doesn’t understand electron microscopy and he doesn’t understand medicine. He should not be in a position like he’s in [...] Tony Fauci does not mind going on television in front of the people who pay his salary and lie directly into the camera.”

A Transhumanist Future

Besides being gene therapies, a technology [associated with eugenics](#) and transhumanism, [according to scientists](#), mRNA technology “allows rapid development of novel vaccines within a very short time span of weeks rather than months”. Hence, we may be faced with the possibility of a future filled with on-demand vaccines created to “protect” the public against new, invisible threats.

Indeed, with vaccinologists already talking about [“variants”](#), booster shots and periodic covid-19 top-up vaccines, it certainly looks like things are headed that way. And of course, [thanks to intelligence-linked Big Tech conglomerates](#), this data will all be recorded on a “vaccine passport” linked to your smart phone, which will no-doubt form the basis for a new type of digital identity pass tied to your bank account and, eventually, your [social credit](#).

Indeed, in 2019, Bill Gates’ Microsoft filed a patent, aptly named Patent WO2020060606, for a [“Cryptocurrency system using body-activation data”](#), another clue as to the true intentions of the technocratic elite who are funding and promoting the transhumanist agenda. The patent’s title alone conjures up images of a slave society in which humans are fitted with biosensors and awarded digital coins for completing tasks issued to them by the ruling elite.

But perhaps even more alarming is the rush to get gene therapies licensed for use in young children. Pfizer are currently in the midst of a global clinical trial, where they are testing their mRNA jabs in babies as young as 6 months, despite the fact that “Covid-19”, if we suppose there is such a disease, [barely affects children](#). In fact, according to CDC numbers, the IFR in children is 20 per

1,000,000, or 0.002%, which is [likely lower](#) than the risk of permanent injury or death from the MMR vaccine. It's also lower than the covid-19 vaccine death rate as calculated using VAERS data at the time of writing (5,612 deaths over 165,000,000 fully vaccinated in the US = 0.003%). Furthermore, research has linked Pfizer's vaccine to symptomatic myocarditis, with an [estimated incidence rate](#) of 1 in 3000 or 1 in 6000 in young men.

The rush to bring mRNA vaccines into the mainstream as part of the regular childhood vaccination schedule is not about health or protection, but rather a step towards a much more sinister goal, which is to attain control over the human body itself.

As mentioned previously in this article, DARPA, the research arm of the US Department of Defense, has been working to create nanotechnology that can interface with biological cells. In 2014, DARPA launched its "[In Vivo Nanoplatfoms \(IVN\)](#)" program, with the aim of developing implantable nanoplatfoms to collect biological data and provide "continuous physiologic monitoring". The program has since helped to create [injectable hydrogels](#) that monitor physiologic responses and can sync to a smartphone.

Furthermore, DARPA, together with the NIH, heavily funds Profusa, a [Google-backed](#) biotech company developing and marketing this very same injectable hydrogel technology, only now it is being punted as a way to [detect future "pandemics"](#). Allegedly, Profusa's sensors can "detect flu-like infections even before their symptoms begin to show". While incredibly disturbing, this is only a step towards DARPA's ultimate goal, which is to establish dominion over the mind. This goal is reflected in DARPA's research to create "[mutant-powered soldiers](#)" using "genetic weaponry" that can "undermine people's minds and bodies using a range of chemical, neurological, genetic and behavioral techniques".

DARPA is also looking at ways to [genetically engineer the brain](#) in order to read peoples thoughts and induce images and sounds in people's minds. The research involves the use of "magnetic nanoparticles", the same technology that some have speculated [may be included](#) in current or future covid-19 vaccines.

Equally distressing is the "Wellcome Leap", a new initiative created by the [eugenics-linked Wellcome Trust](#), the world's richest medical research Foundation, in partnership with two former DARPA frontmen. The program's official aim is to "Deliver breakthroughs in human health over 5 – 10 years and demonstrate seemingly impossible results on seemingly impossible timelines." Currently, the initiative has 5 main projects, the first of which is "[RNA Readiness + Response](#)", which seeks to (emphasis added) "create a self-sustaining network of manufacturing facilities providing globally distributed, state-of-the-art surge capacity to meet **future pandemic needs**", referring to the manufacturing of RNA-based products (mRNA gene therapies). Note the seeming surety of a future pandemic.

However, the top contender for most disturbing Wellcome Leap project is, without a doubt, "[The First 1000 days](#)" (1kD), a program which seeks to use

infants as test subjects in order to monitor their brain development and create AI models that can be used to “accurately predict and improve EF [executive function] outcomes”. The project also notes the use of “mobile-sensors, wearables and home-based systems”. In a [detailed article](#) on the matter, researcher Whitney Webb writes that:

“True to the eugenicist ties of the Wellcome Trust (to be explored more in-depth in Part 2), Wellcome Leap’s 1DK notes that “of interest are improvements from underdeveloped EF to normative or from normative to well-developed EF across the population to deliver the broadest impact.” One of the goals of 1DK is thus not treating disease or addressing a “global health public challenge” but instead experimenting on the cognitive augmentation of children using means developed by AI algorithms and invasive surveillance-based technology.”

The Wellcome Leap’s timeline of 5-10 years happens to line up with elite frontman, Elon Musk’s Neuralink project, which [seeks to establish](#) “the future of brain interfaces” in order to “expand our abilities”. [In an interview](#) Musk said “I think we are about 8 to 10 years away from this being usable by people with no disability”. Musk, whose wealth increased by [more than 500%](#) during the covid-19 “pandemic”, founded Neuralink in 2016. The company recently [raised \\$205m](#) in funding from 7 venture capital firms (including [Google’s GV](#)) and 5 Silicon Valley executives. However, Neuralink isn’t the only biotech company pursuing this technology. Recently, Synchron, a small biotech firm and Neuralink competitor, [received the go-ahead](#) from the FDA to begin testing its brain chip implants in humans.

10 years from now would take us to 2030, a year that [comes up again and again](#) as a year in which transhumanist technologies will be commonplace in mainstream society. According to predictions made by the US National Intelligence Council, “human augmentation” (the merging of man and machine) will be a major theme in 2030. Their [Global Trends 2030](#) report, published in 2012, states that:

“Successful prosthetics probably will be directly integrated with the user’s body. Brain-machine interfaces could provide “superhuman” abilities, enhancing strength and speed, as well as providing functions not previously available.”

Interestingly, the report also predicts “an easily transmissible novel respiratory pathogen” that could cause a great disruptive impact. The report goes on to state that “Unlike other disruptive global events, such an outbreak would result in a global pandemic that directly causes suffering and death in every corner of the world, probably in less than six months.”

Enslavement: A Free Will Choice

The roll out of mRNA gene therapies and the push towards a transhumanist society represents the continuation of the eugenics movement, which was based on the pseudoscientific concept that some humans, by virtue of their genetic composition, were more “fit” to lead society than others.

The “hero” of the Polio epidemic, Jonas Salk, had his own ties to eugenics and so does the institute named in his favour, the Salk Institute. Their mRNA research, funded by the NIH, set the foundation for the development and mass roll out of gene therapies, controversially being called “vaccines”. The danger of this experimental technology is evident, having already caused thousands of injuries and deaths worldwide.

The role of intelligence organizations, billionaire technocrats and pharmaceutical initiatives in funding, researching and promoting mRNA vaccines, “bio-brain” interfaces, gene editing and other technologies steeped in eugenicist ideals paints the picture of a global agenda set to hit its stride fully by 2030. The current covid-19 “pandemic” has served as a means to accelerate this agenda by centralizing wealth and power, bringing transhumanist technology into the mainstream and normalizing authoritarian rule. Pfizer’s infant trials and the Wellcome Leap’s alarming “1kD” project indicate that key to this agenda is the conditioning and control of children from a young age, something that Aldous Huxley detailed extensively in his disturbingly prophetic, eugenicist novel, *Brave New World*.

This agenda, though backed by some of the world’s most powerful individuals and institutions, has an obvious weakness – its success is reliant on our compliance. It will only advance if we allow it to advance. Therefore, it is up to each one of us, through compassionate, non-violent resistance, to sow the seeds of awakening in the collective consciousness of mankind.