

# Wikileaks bombshell. Hillary's 8 point plan to destroy ISIS and Syria: "Qatar and Saudi Arabia providing financial support to ISIL"

By Alex Christoforou – The Duran

*A new Wikileaks email dump released yesterday reveals Hillary's eight point plan to destroy ISIS, and destroy Syria...in what can only be described as a reckless and naive view of the region that Hillary herself actively destabilized with her support for the Iraq invasion, and as the driving force behind the violent regime change operation in Libya.*

The email exchange between Hillary Clinton, and top aide John Podesta, is breathtaking...full of hubris and stupidity.

It portrays a cold and calculating Clinton concerned with destroying ISIS in Iraq, but scheming to help jihadist groups in Syria in order to overthrow Assad with "moderate" forces that cannot be properly vetted.

Let's not forget that Hillary's financial conflict of interest runs deep in the troubled region, with Qatar giving between \$1 million and \$5 million to the Clinton Foundation, and Saudi Arabia having donated upwards of \$25 million dollars to the Clinton Foundation.

Hillary's 8 point plan is conflicted and personal. Taking on Qatar and Saudi Arabia puts her at odds with big time Clinton Foundation donors.

Things get further complicated when we remember that in 2010, (as reported by The Intercept) Clinton's top aide said that the up to \$60 billion weapons transfer of fighter jets and helicopters to Saudi Arabia **was a "top priority."**

On August 17, 2014 Hillary Clinton sent an email to John Podesta (then counselor to President Barack Obama, now current Hillary campaign chairman), where HRC details her roadmap to defeating ISIS, propping up the Kurdish forces in the north, and striking a decisive blow to Assad in Syria.

The complete email exchange can be found on the Wikileaks website. Here is The Duran's breakdown of the 8 point plan with RED highlighted sections.

## Congrats!

---

From: john.podesta@gmail.com  
To: hrod17@clintonemail.com  
Date: 2014-09-27 15:15  
Subject: Congrats!

---

Send our love to Chelsea, Marc and Grandpa. Can't wait to meet Charlotte.  
On Aug 19, 2014 9:22 AM, "H" <hrod17@clintonemail.com> wrote:

Agree but there may be opportunities as the Iraqi piece improves.

Also, any idea whose fighters attacked Islamist positions in Tripoli, Libya?  
Worth analyzing for future purposes.

\*From\*: John Podesta [mailto:john.podesta@gmail.com]  
\*Sent\*: Tuesday, August 19, 2014 09:19 AM  
\*To\*: H  
\*Subject\*: Re: Here's what I mentioned

The email from John Podesta to HRC begins with a question on an attack in Tripoli, which is worth ***“analyzing for future purposes”***. Podesta may be floating out the idea to communicate with whichever forces initiated the attack on Islamist positions. **Maybe the forces can be lumped into the “moderate rebels” bucket.**

Hit send too soon. Meant to say Syria elements are vexing.

On Aug 19, 2014 9:17 AM, "John Podesta" <john.podesta@gmail.com> wrote:

> I think we are headed down this path in Iraq, but the Syria elements are  
> On Aug 17, 2014 3:50 PM, "H" <hrod17@clintonemail.com> wrote:  
>  
>> Note: Sources include Western intelligence, US intelligence and sources  
>> in the region.  
>>  
>>  
>>

Podesta reveals his frustration with progress in Syria...**describing “elements” as “vexing”**.

>> 1. With all of its tragic aspects, the advance of ISIL  
>> through Iraq gives the U.S. Government an opportunity to change the way it  
>> deals with the chaotic security situation in North Africa and the Middle  
>> East. The most important factor in this matter is to make use of  
>> intelligence resources and Special Operations troops in an aggressive  
>> manner, while avoiding the old school solution, which calls for more  
>> traditional military operations. In Iraq it is important that we engage  
>> ISIL using the resources of the Peshmerga fighters of the Kurdish Regional  
>> Government (KRG), and what, if any, reliable units exist in the Iraqi  
>> Army. The Peshmerga commanders are aggressive hard fighting troops, who  
>> have long standing relationships with CIA officers and Special Forces  
>> operators. However, they will need the continued commitment of U.S.  
>> personnel to work with them as advisors and strategic planners, the new  
>> generation of Peshmerga commanders being largely untested in traditional  
>> combat. That said, with this U.S. aid the Kurdish troops can inflict a  
>> real defeat on ISIL.  
>>  
>>

Hillary Clinton begins to lay out here 8 point plan. Point 1, HRC notes that the “advance of ISIL” provides an “opportunity” for American to reshape how it deals with North Africa and the Middle East.

Hillary closes Point 1 with her belief that Kurdish troops “**can inflict a real defeat on ISIL**”, and thus need to be supported by the US government.

>> 2. It is important that once we engage ISIL, as we have now  
>> done in a limited manner, we and our allies should carry on until they are  
>> driven back suffering a tangible defeat. Anything short of this will be  
>> seen by other fighters in the region, Libya, Lebanon, and even Jordan, as  
>> an American defeat. However, if we provide advisors and planners, as well  
>> as increased close air support for the Peshmerga, these soldiers can defeat  
>> ISIL. They will give the new Iraqi Government a chance to organize itself,  
>> and restructure the Sunni resistance in Syria, moving the center of power  
>> toward moderate forces like the Free Syrian Army (FSA). In addition to air  
>> support, the Peshmerga also need artillery and armored vehicles to deal  
>> with the tanks and other heavy equipment captured from the Iraqi army by  
>> ISIL.  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>> 3. In the past the USG, in an agreement with the Turkish General Staff,  
>> did not provide such heavy weapons to the Peshmerga, out of a concern that  
>> they would end up in the hands of Kurdish rebels inside of Turkey. The  
>> current situation in Iraq, not to mention the political environment in  
>> Turkey, makes this policy obsolete. Also this equipment can now be  
>> airlifted directly into the KRG zone.  
>>

Point 2 and 3 sees HRC admit that US engagement with ISIL has been “limited”. She further concludes that with US close air support to Peshmerga fighters, ISIL can be defeated in Iraq and the Sunni “resistance” in Syria can then be supported.

Hillary notes her concern with providing heavy weapons to Peshmerga forces in the fear that those weapons will be used against Turkey by Kurdish forces. HRC brushes those concerns aside as “obsolete”, with an airlift of heavy weapons solving the Turkey issue.

>>

>> 4. Armed with proper equipment, and working with U.S. advisors, the  
>> Peshmerga can attack the ISIL with a coordinated assault supported from the  
>> air. This effort will come as a surprise to the ISIL, whose leaders  
>> believe we will always stop with targeted bombing, and weaken them both in  
>> Iraq and inside of Syria. At the same time we should return to plans to  
>> provide the FSA, or some group of moderate forces, with equipment that will  
>> allow them to deal with a weakened ISIL, and stepped up operations against  
>> the Syrian regime. This entire effort should be done with a low profile,  
>> avoiding the massive traditional military operations that are at best  
>> temporary solutions. While this military/para-military operation is moving  
>> forward, we need to use our diplomatic and more traditional intelligence  
>> assets to bring pressure on the governments of Qatar and Saudi Arabia,  
>> which are providing clandestine financial and logistic support to ISIL and  
>> other radical Sunni groups in the region. This effort will be enhanced by  
>> the stepped up commitment in the KRG. The Qataris and Saudis will be put  
>> in a position of balancing policy between their ongoing competition to  
>> dominate the Sunni world and the consequences of serious U.S. pressure. By  
>> the same token, the threat of similar, realistic U.S. operations will serve  
>> to assist moderate forces in Libya, Lebanon, and even Jordan, where  
>> insurgents are increasingly fascinated by the ISIL success in Iraq.  
>>

Point 4. Weaken ISIL in Iraq and Syria with targeted bombings (something Russia is doing at the moment in Syria). The smoking gun, after the targeted bombings **“provide the FSA, or some group of moderate forces”** with weapons, to not only take on ISIL on the ground in Syria, but to step up **“operations against the Syrian regime.”**

Then an even bigger revelation that should shock no one, but to have it documented holds weight...**Qatar and Saudi Arabia are providing ISIL with financial and logistical support.**

*“While this military/para-military operation is moving forward, we need to use our diplomatic and more traditional intelligence assets to bring pressure on the governments of Qatar and Saudi*

**Arabia, which are providing clandestine financial and logistic support to ISIL and other radical Sunni groups in the region.”**

*“This effort will be enhanced by the stepped up commitment in the KRG [Kurdish Regional Government]. The Qataris and Saudis will be put in a position of balancing policy between their ongoing competition to dominate the Sunni world and the consequences of serious U.S. pressure.”*

>> 6. In the end the situation in Iraq is merely the latest and most  
>> dangerous example of the regional restructuring that is taking place across  
>> North Africa, all the way to the Turkish border. These developments are  
>> important to the U.S. for reasons that often differ from country to  
>> country: energy and moral commitment to Iraq, energy issues in Libya, and  
>> strategic commitments in Jordan. At the same time, as Turkey moves toward  
>> a new, more serious Islamic reality, it will be important for them to  
>> realize that we are willing to take serious actions, which can be sustained  
>> to protect our national interests. This course of action offers the  
>> potential for success, as opposed to large scale, traditional military  
>> campaigns, that are too expensive and awkward to maintain over time.  
>>  
>>  
>>

>> 7. (Note: A source in Tripoli stated in confidence that when the U.S.  
>> Embassy was evacuated, the presence of two U.S. Navy jet fighters over the  
>> city brought all fighting to a halt for several hours, as Islamist forces  
>> were not certain that these aircraft would not also provide close ground  
>> support for moderate government forces.)

>>

Point 6 and 7 (Hillary’s numbering is off as she skipped point 5)  
HRC notes that US interests in the region differ from country to  
country, with **“energy issues in Libya”** being a **US national  
interest.**

>>

>> 8. If we do not take the changes needed to make our security  
>> policy in the region more realistic, there is a real danger of ISIL  
>> veterans moving on to other countries to facilitate operations by Islamist  
>> forces. This is already happening in Libya and Egypt, where fighters are  
>> returning from Syria to work with local forces. ISIL is only the latest and  
>> most violent example of this process. If we don’t act to defeat them in  
>> Iraq something even more violent and dangerous will develop. Successful  
>> military operations against these very irregular but determined forces can  
>> only be accomplished by making proper use of clandestine/special operations  
>> resources, in coordination with airpower, and established local allies.

>> There is, unfortunately, a narrow window of opportunity on this issue, as  
>> we need to act before an ISIL state becomes better organized and reaches  
>> into Lebanon and Jordan.

>>

>>

Point 8, HRC reveals that ISIL is growing and entering new markets such as Libya and Egypt..as Hilary alludes to the fact that ISIL can even reach into Lebanon and Jordan.

```
>>
>> 9. (Note: It is important to keep in mind that as a result of
>> this policy there probably will be concern in the Sunni regions of Iraq and
>> the Central Government regarding the possible expansion of KRG controlled
>> territory. With advisors in the Peshmerga command we can reassure the
>> concerned parties that, in return for increase autonomy, the KRG will not
>> exclude the Iraqi Government from participation in the management of the
>> oil fields around Kirkuk, and the Mosel Dam hydroelectric facility. At the
>> same time we will be able to work with the Peshmerga as they pursue ISIL
>> into disputed areas of Eastern Syria, coordinating with FSA troops who can
>> move against ISIL from the North. This will make certain Basher al Assad
>> does not gain an advantage from these operations. Finally, as it now
>> appears the U.S. is considering a plan to offer contractors as advisors to
>> the Iraqi Ministry of Defense, we will be in a position to coordinate more
>> effectively between the Peshmerga and the Iraqi Army.)
>>
>>
```

Point 9, **Hillary lays out her grand bargain for the region.**

A Kurdish autonomous state, which will work with the Iraqi government to share energy riches in and around Mosel and Kirkuk, while at the same time shifting the fighting to Syria, where the Peshmerga forces (in coordination with FSA troops) carve out the North of Syria, and deal a decisive blow to Assad.

We see why the battle for Aleppo matters so much to Hillary Clinton. Assad taking control of Syria's main northern city runs counter to HRC's grand plan, as laid out in this Wikileaks email release.